



## SUMMARY REPORT – MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE (MSW) RECYCLING & DISPOSAL DATA for CONNECTICUT CITIES & TOWNS - FY2014

### *Notes about the Data Presented Below*

Data presented below was updated May 2017 by the Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (CTDEEP) Bureau of Materials Management & Compliance Assurance (MM&CA) Questions? Corrections? Please e-mail [Judy Belaval](mailto:Judy.Belaval@ctdeep.com) at the CT DEEP Bureau of MM&CA or call (860) 424-3237.

♦ **MSW recycling data** in this report is based on (1) the tonnage of bottles, cans, and paper *reported* received for recycling from CT municipalities by CT solid waste facilities permitted to process or transfer recyclables; (2) tonnage of other material recycled *as reported* in the FY2014 Annual Municipal Recycling Reports; and (3) tonnage of recyclables direct hauled out-of-state *as reported* by haulers. The tonnage of MSW recycled includes both "[designated recyclable items](#)" and other MSW recyclable items and also includes material reported reused through municipal swap areas

♦ **MSW disposal data** in this report is based on (1) tonnage of MSW generated within the borders of CT municipalities and *reported* received for disposal and/or transfer to disposal by CT solid waste transfer stations, resource recovery facilities and landfills; (2) tonnage of MSW *reported* direct hauled to out-of-state disposal by haulers and/or CT municipalities (as reported on the FY2014 Annual Municipal Recycling Reports). It includes MSW generated by both the residential and non-residential sectors. It generally does not knowingly include wastes such as construction and demolition wastes, industrial sludges, or land-clearing debris.

♦ The data presented below reflects only information reported to the CTDEEP. MSW disposal and recycling tonnages could likely be underestimated for those CT towns or cities if their disposed MSW and/or their recyclables were delivered directly to an out-of-state destination or directly to an end market without first going through a CT permitted SW facility and that tonnage was not reported to the CTDEEP by either the hauler or the municipality. If disposal tonnages are under reported, the MSW recycling rate for that town would be over-estimated since the percent recycled = tons recycled/(tons recycled + tons disposed).

♦ **The accuracy of the data presented below is contingent upon the accuracy of data submitted to the CTDEEP by the reporting entities identified above.**

- Rows with blue shading indicate extremely low MSW disposal rates (<600 pounds/person/year). Data regarding the amount of MSW disposed for that town may not be completely captured in reports submitted to the CTDEEP.
- Rows with brown shading indicate municipalities with extremely high MSW disposal rates (>1800 pounds/person/year). This may be due to data issues; however in many cases, these higher disposal rates can be attributed to demographics i.e. large number of businesses, manufacturers, universities, casinos etc.; influx of summer residents; specific industry generating large amounts of waste, etc..

♦ **Towns in green font have tonnages combined with another town because they share a municipal TS which does not have a scale and therefore tons received are not tracked by town of origin.**

♦ **Towns in red font had not, as of May 2017, submitted their FY2014 Annual Municipal Recycling Report to the CTDEEP and therefore are in violation of annual municipal recycling reporting (AMRR) requirements pursuant to CGS Section 22a-220(h)**

♦ PPY= pounds/person/year

♦ **Combined = (Tons of Recyclables Reported as Residential) + (Tons of Recyclables Reported as Non-Residential) + (Tons of Recyclables Reported as Mixed Residential and Non-Residential)**

Please note data qualifiers at the end of this document.

Column 1 CT City or Town	Column 2 BOTTLES, CANS, PAPER (BCP) RECYCLED <sup>1</sup> (Based Mostly on Permitted SW Facility Reports Submitted to the CTDEEP)				OTHER MSW RECYCLED <sup>2</sup> (other than BCP) Based Mostly on Annual Municipal Recycling Reports (AMRRs) Submitted to the CTDEEP			Column 6 Total MSW RECYCLED Sum of Columns 2d thru 5	Column 7 Home Composted & Grasscycled Estimate Based on (AMRRs)	Column 8 MSW DISPOSED <sup>3</sup> (Based Mostly on Permitted SW Facility Reports Submitted to the CTDEEP)		Column 9 Oversized MSW DISPOSED	Column 10 Residential Population <a href="#">July 2013 (DPH)</a>	Column 11 # of People Working within the Borders of the Municipality in 2014 <a href="#">DOL</a>	Column 12 Tons of other Material (not MSW) Recycled			
	Column 2a Residential Lbs/Person/Yr	Column 2b Combined Residential & NonRes Lbs/Person/Yr	Column 2c Residential Tons	Column 2d Combined Residential & NonRes Tons	Column 3 ORGANICS RECYCLED Muni Program Tons	Column 4 SCRAP METAL RECYCLED Muni Program Tons	Column 5 OTHER RECYCLED Muni Program Tons			Combined Residential & NonRes Tons	Residential Tons					Combined Res & NonRes Tons	Res & NonRes Lbs/Person/Yr	Combined Res & NonRes Tons
ANDOVER	152.48	152.61	249.54	249.75	0	0	0	249.75	0	610.12	372.82	8.81	3273	381				
ANSONIA	0.00	100.98	0.00	960.32	0	0	0	960.32	0	9,255.81	973.27	0	19020	3,371				
ASHFORD	162.20	195.06	347.18	417.52	435.00	61.14	25.42	939.08	0.00	2,378.22	1,111.06	22.33	4281	570	144.33			
AVON	225.69	473.67	2074.74	4354.46	0	51.76	36.77	4,442.99	35.21	10,543.97	1,146.96	165.85	18386	8,014				
BARKHAMSTED NEW HARTFORD WINCHESTER	166.10	205.44	1,797.52	2,223.32	506.25	354.61	105.81	3,189.99	347.55	11,370.05	1,050.64	156.17	21,644	5,360	226.67			
BEACON FALLS	110.71	249.09	335.01	753.74	107.50	22.96	12.91	897.11	0.00	3,254.32	1,075.45	4.95	6052	867				
BERLIN	187.79	269.89	1933.27	2778.49	783.00	227.36	47.53	3,836.38	169.58	9,534.45	926.12	470	20590	11,536				
BETHANY	0.76	138.89	2.11	384.72	0	66.39	23.01	474.12	0.00	1,791.24	646.66	0	5540	1,173				
BETHEL	92.73	172.27	893.16	1659.28	312.00	210.00	20.98	2,202.26	1.62	8,737.70	907.15	107.20	19264	7,054				
BETHLEHEM	188.98	190.94	335.72	339.21	0	31.32	12.57	383.10	0.00	1,522.38	856.95	7.67	3553	707				
BLOOMFIELD	175.43	448.75	1813.34	4638.53	0	0	0	4,638.53	0	18,515.84	1,791.31	105.72	20673	19,272				
BOLTON	239.09	253.01	591.50	625.94	37.20	18.57	5.55	687.26	20.03	1,962.54	793.27	17.71	4948	1,257				
BOZRAH	113.94	900.99	150.35	1188.85	0	0	0	1,188.85	0	2,173.69	1,647.36	150.07	2639	1,172				
BRANFORD	11.79	278.80	165.02	3901.57	3,303.00	284.48	89.20	7,578.25	1,419.02	11,753.70	839.91	65.05	27988	12,791				
BRIDGEPORT	0.55	160.83	40.77	11838.71	0	0	0	11,838.71	0	98,813.67	1,342.43	19.72	147216	42,960				
BRIDGEWATER	309.62	335.44	262.56	284.45	0	0	6.97	291.42	1.29	474.25	559.26	0.00	1696	271				
BRISTOL	184.18	253.62	5577.73	7680.59	7,608.49	239.38	173.74	15,702.20	833.53	41,491.76	1,370.09	452.37	60568	21,977				
BROOKFIELD	154.27	335.42	1300.52	2827.57	1,720.00	4.85	8.14	4,560.56	0.65	9,182.67	1,089.28	319.99	16860	7,263	486.00			
BROOKLYN	157.49	163.83	652.00	678.27	0	2.00	23.00	51.27	72.68	2,582.57	623.81	48.12	8280	1,761				
BURLINGTON	231.27	242.77	1097.83	1152.45	73.00	42.80	13.38	1,281.63	305.11	3,568.80	751.80	0	9494	904	4.62			
CANAAN BCP	179.11	1,162.09	108.72	705.39	210.00	33.65	14.03	963.07	34.24	501.82	826.72	7.67	1214	904				

<sup>1</sup> Most data re bottles, cans, paper (BCP) recycled from within the borders of the municipality is based on FY2014 quarterly recycling facility reports submitted to the CTDEEP

<sup>2</sup> Data re organics, scrap metal, mattresses, and other recyclables (i.e. other than BCP) recycled by the municipality is based on FY2014 Annual Municipal Recycling Reports (AMRR) Submitted to the CTDEEP

<sup>3</sup> Most data re MSW disposed from within the borders of the municipality is based on FY2014 quarterly TS, RRF, LF reports submitted to the CTDEEP – does not include oversized MSW if reported separately from MSW

Column 1 CT City or Town	Column 2 BOTTLES, CANS, PAPER (BCP) RECYCLED <sup>1</sup> (Based Mostly on Permitted SW Facility Reports Submitted to the CTDEEP)				OTHER MSW RECYCLED <sup>2</sup> (other than BCP) Based Mostly on Annual Municipal Recycling Reports (AMRRs) Submitted to the CTDEEP			Column 6 Total MSW RECYCLED Sum of Columns 2d thru 5	Column 7 Home Composted & Grasscycled Estimate Based on (AMRRs)	Column 8 MSW DISPOSED <sup>3</sup> (Based Mostly on Permitted SW Facility Reports Submitted to the CTDEEP)		Column 9 Oversized MSW DISPOSED	Column 10 Residential Population <a href="#">July 2013 (DPH)</a>	Column 11 # of People Working within the Borders of the Municipality in 2014 <a href="#">DOL</a>	Column 12 Tons of other Material (not MSW) Recycled
					Column 3 ORGANICS RECYCLED Muni Program	Column 4 SCRAP METAL RECYCLED Muni Program	Column 5 OTHER RECYCLED Muni Program								
	Column 2a Residential <a href="#">Lbs/Person/Yr</a>	Column 2b Combined Residential & NonRes <a href="#">Lbs/Person/Yr</a>	Column 2c Residential Tons	Column 2d Combined Residential & NonRes Tons	Combined Residential & NonRes Tons	Combined Residential & NonRes Tons	Combined Residential & NonRes Tons	Combined Residential & NonRes Tons	Residential Tons	Combined Res & NonRes Tons	Res & NonRes <a href="#">Lbs/Person/Yr</a>	Combined Res & NonRes Tons			
mostly non-residential															
CANTERBURY	118.72	150.04	302.49	382.29	0	89.86	37.54	509.69	8.40	2,186.92	858.29	61.15	5096	544	
CANTON	189.15	220.13	979.49	1139.92	839.00	88.03	51.15	2,118.10	255.12	4,465.76	862.37	14.19	10357	3,534	
CHAPLIN	222.23	222.23	252.90	252.90	0	15.31	5.75	273.96	0.00	821.66	722.02	1.43	2276	337	
CHESHIRE	191.08	382.02	2784.98	5567.95	214.18	7.99	0	5,790.12	283.27	16,418.20	1,126.46	87.25	29150	16,128	
CHESTER	168.72	335.07	366.37	727.60	0	0	0.75	728.35	27.78	1,218.54	561.15	3.62	4343	2,118	
CLINTON	152.27	297.16	1003.48	1958.27	131.50	89.52	33.62	2,212.91	177.97	5,369.43	814.78	14.03	13180	4,066	315.81
<b>COLCHESTER</b>	<b>191.40</b>	<b>216.30</b>	<b>1551.27</b>	<b>1753.13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,753.13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7,493.71</b>	<b>924.58</b>	<b>361.44</b>	<b>16210</b>	<b>3,746</b>	
COLEBROOK	260.40	260.40	189.70	189.70	0	26.70	53.38	269.78	7.11	603.83	828.87	2.30	1457	*	
COLUMBIA	160.44	216.99	437.99	592.39	348.00	40.08	35.96	1,016.43	0.00	1,255.04	459.72	25.86	5460	1,037	
CORNWALL	205.47	205.47	145.06	145.06	0	42.12	7.32	194.50	37.47	494.98	701.10	7.23	1412	434	
COVENTRY	218.09	277.87	1353.38	1724.31	506.00	1.32	36.99	2,268.62	128.75	4,494.49	724.28	27.42	12411	1,446	
CROMWELL	160.56	307.32	1138.21	2178.59	450.00	162.31	35.82	2,826.72	0.00	7,954.49	1,122.09	442.59	14178	7,131	
DANBURY	95.80	221.32	4008.30	9260.46	6,199.52	0	104.77	15,564.75	54.26	58,415.06	1,396.09	995.59	83684	44,208	1,361.10
DARIEN – Haulers probably taking MSW directly out-of-state –but not reporting that tonnage to town nor to DEEP	0.00	99.01	0.00	1055.89	8,055.90	234.17	90.24	9,436.20	38.11	4,993.83	468.24	46.26	21330	8,093	768.69
DEEP RIVER	186.86	197.30	428.75	452.70	2,250.00	0	45.35	2,748.05	38.11	3,927.85	1,711.85	0.00	4589	1,552	34.00
<b>DERBY – Where are the BCP???</b>	<b>0.24</b>	<b>22.66</b>	<b>1.54</b>	<b>145.01</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>145.01</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8,576.30</b>	<b>1,339.94</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>12801</b>	<b>4,894</b>	
<b>DURHAM MIDDLEFIELD</b>	<b>160.69</b>	<b>182.50</b>	<b>946.93</b>	<b>1,075.48</b>	<b>1,328.69</b>	<b>196.26</b>	<b>92.08</b>	<b>2,692.51</b>	<b>106.53</b>	<b>5,792.70</b>	<b>982.98</b>	<b>281.22</b>	<b>11,786</b>	<b>4,063</b>	<b>0</b>
EAST GRANBY	184.85	229.21	481.71	597.31	192.80	66.14	14.01	870.26	0.00	3,575.35	1,371.97	34.64	5212	3,843	
EAST HADDAM – Where are the BCP???	0.00	20.12	0.00	92.00	285.00	99.29	46.45	522.74	0.00	3,151.10	688.99	48.54	9147	1,456	
EAST HAMPTON	147.42	204.68	951.76	1321.41	468.75	53.73	30.97	1,874.86	0.00	6,088.17	943.03	212.06	12912	1,872	15.00

Column 1 CT City or Town	Column 2 BOTTLES, CANS, PAPER (BCP) RECYCLED <sup>1</sup> (Based Mostly on Permitted SW Facility Reports Submitted to the CTDEEP)				OTHER MSW RECYCLED <sup>2</sup> (other than BCP) Based Mostly on Annual Municipal Recycling Reports (AMRRs) Submitted to the CTDEEP			Column 6 Total MSW RECYCLED Sum of Columns 2d thru 5	Column 7 Home Composted & Grasscycled Estimate Based on (AMRRs)	Column 8 MSW DISPOSED <sup>3</sup> (Based Mostly on Permitted SW Facility Reports Submitted to the CTDEEP)		Column 9 Oversized MSW DISPOSED	Column 10 Residential Population <a href="#">July 2013 (DPH)</a>	Column 11 # of People Working within the Borders of the Municipality in 2014 <a href="#">DOL</a>	Column 12 Tons of other Material (not MSW) Recycled
					Column 3 ORGANICS RECYCLED Muni Program	Column 4 SCRAP METAL RECYCLED Muni Program	Column 5 OTHER RECYCLED Muni Program								
	Column 2a Residential <i>Lbs/Person/Yr</i>	Column 2b Combined Residential & NonRes <i>Lbs/Person/Yr</i>	Column 2c Residential <i>Tons</i>	Column 2d Combined Residential & NonRes) <i>Tons</i>	Combined Residential & NonRes <i>Tons</i>	Combined Residential & NonRes <i>Tons</i>	Combined Residential & NonRes <i>Tons</i>			Combined Residential & NonRes <i>Tons</i>	Residential <i>Tons</i>				
EAST HARTFORD	134.54	268.54	3444.08	6874.45	8,439.75	483.68	68.13	15,866.01	0.00	27,867.47	1,088.59	216.65	51199	29,624	
EAST HAVEN	1.21	103.55	17.58	1507.78	3,650.00	0	11.57	5,169.35	1,493.88	21,089.20	1,448.38	0.37	29121	6,438	
EAST LYME	242.70	311.11	2298.02	2945.74	234.00	93.50	86.59	3,359.83	274.07	10,560.07	1,115.28	121.73	18937	5,562	
EAST WINDSOR	149.06	234.62	850.07	1338.02	55.65	0	14.99	1,408.66	0.00	7,795.74	1,366.95	5.42	11406	7,090	22.50
EASTFORD	164.46	292.24	142.75	253.66	0	32.48	26.75	312.89	10.01	367.00	422.81	0.00	1736	610	
EASTON	0.00	191.52	0.00	729.29	0	0	5.41	734.70	0.00	2,578.90	677.23	0.00	7616	947	60
ELLINGTON	177.32	201.61	1399.58	1591.28	142.50	6.32	55.01	1,795.11	0.00	5,643.10	714.95	12.41	15786	3,486	174.13
ENFIELD	139.83	295.07	3128.55	6601.99	2,428.20	144.13	80.39	9,254.71	137.60	34,524.11	1,543.05	0	44748	19,044	
ESSEX	216.56	330.72	718.21	1096.83	920.00	44.50	44.06	2,105.39	86.16	3,755.75	1,132.44	31.27	6633	3,745	
FAIRFIELD	35.34	184.41	1075.25	5611.03	20,704.45	346.81	263.08	26,925.37	0.00	34,915.20	1,147.49	11.97	60855	25,184	
FARMINGTON	191.48	306.99	2452.13	3931.41	2,419.75	9.29	14.00	6,374.45	47.16	15,908.03	1,242.18	625.4	25613	30,654	
FRANKLIN	0.00	155.10	0.00	154.09	70.11	65.68	5.97	295.85	0.00	1,523.19	1,533.16	190.53	1987	958	
<b>GLASTONBURY</b>	<b>209.24</b>	<b>276.41</b>	<b>3637.37</b>	<b>4805.06</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,805.06</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19,248.55</b>	<b>1,107.26</b>	<b>174.71</b>	<b>34768</b>	<b>16,477</b>	
GOSHEN	214.06	214.06	315.21	315.21	0	7.71	1.13	324.05	72.35	1,320.81	896.98	18.16	2945	393	
GRANBY	262.16	298.42	1484.24	1689.51	602.01	132.03	96.55	2,520.10	364.34	5,666.47	1,000.88	13.66	11323	2,400	33.01
GREENWICH	11.60	435.37	361.97	13582.56	17,749.14	546.74	384.19	32,262.63	0.97	41,135.86	1,318.54	19.47	62396	35,036	3,927.77
GRISWOLD	67.93	132.86	406.17	794.41	0	44.96	26.75	866.12	2.91	4,358.69	728.94	132.27	11959	1,328	
GROTON	130.39	242.63	2619.30	4873.86	3,665.00	878.06	411.36	9,828.28	302.97	25,983.88	1,293.50	128.71	40176	25,693	
<b>GUILFORD MADISON</b>	<b>180.68</b>	<b>243.62</b>	<b>3,678.17</b>	<b>4,959.34</b>	<b>2,074.44</b>	<b>147.98</b>	<b>141.57</b>	<b>7,323.33</b>	<b>113.05</b>	<b>21,740.37</b>	<b>1,067.96</b>	<b>76.81</b>	<b>40,714</b>	<b>12,092</b>	<b>0</b>
HADDAM	140.05	148.67	585.60	621.66	120.00	133.28	42.00	916.94	0.00	2,954.65	706.60	80.72	8363	1,396	
HAMDEN	36.58	194.83	1126.73	6001.59	7,273.00	79.53	154.78	13,508.90	638.31	26,495.52	860.15	43.11	61607	20,456	
<b>HAMPTON SCOTLAND</b>	<b>162.91</b>	<b>168.75</b>	<b>290.55</b>	<b>300.96</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>882.72</b>	<b>494.94</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>3,567</b>	<b>277</b>	
HARTFORD	81.37	273.79	5086.24	17114.34	3,200.00	142.80	592.71	21,049.85	0.32	89,779.84	1,436.28	1,214.75	125017	112,096	
HARTLAND	109.89	111.00	117.09	118.27	0	0.04	9.19	127.50	0.00	662.59	621.86	0.00	2131	114	
HARWINTON	201.56	190.60	563.67	533.00	18.33	10.51	23.27	138.78	418.92	2,016.71	721.16	6.07	5593	586	6.60
HEBRON	189.14	194.11	906.75	930.58	47.00	79.00	59.50	1,116.08	0.00	3,226.54	673.04	41.26	9588	1,856	
KENT	209.22	279.21	307.45	410.30	0	18.50	9.46	438.26	62.99	720.61	490.38	1.42	2939	1,292	
KILLINGLY	77.78	455.10	670.18	3921.38	0	33.48	44.30	3,999.16	41.99	12,544.97	1,455.92	180.01	17233	8,743	
KILLINGWORTH	161.38	161.38	523.68	523.68	0	224.00	46.20	793.88	0.00	2,095.23	645.68	15.89	6490	716	
LEBANON	122.26	161.70	447.41	591.73	152.49	45.94	31.42	821.58	0.00	2,493.27	681.31	393.92	7319	1,348	130.64
LEDYARD-	161.85	163.08	1221.45	1230.78	687.50	83.50	39.66	2,041.44	220.61	13,715.40	1,817.33	625.35	15094	10,549	

Column 1 CT City or Town	Column 2 BOTTLES, CANS, PAPER (BCP) RECYCLED <sup>1</sup> (Based Mostly on Permitted SW Facility Reports Submitted to the CTDEEP)				OTHER MSW RECYCLED <sup>2</sup> (other than BCP) Based Mostly on Annual Municipal Recycling Reports (AMRRs) Submitted to the CTDEEP			Column 6 Total MSW RECYCLED Sum of Columns 2d thru 5	Column 7 Home Composted & Grasscycled Estimate Based on (AMRRs)	Column 8 MSW DISPOSED <sup>3</sup> (Based Mostly on Permitted SW Facility Reports Submitted to the CTDEEP)		Column 9 Oversized MSW DISPOSED	Column 10 Residential Population <a href="#">July 2013 (DPH)</a>	Column 11 # of People Working within the Borders of the Municipality in 2014 <a href="#">DOL</a>	Column 12 Tons of other Material (not MSW) Recycled
	Column 2a Residential <a href="#">Lbs/Person/Yr</a>	Column 2b Combined Residential & NonRes <a href="#">Lbs/Person/Yr</a>	Column 2c Residential Tons	Column 2d Combined Residential & NonRes Tons	Column 3 ORGANICS RECYCLED Muni Program Tons	Column 4 SCRAP METAL RECYCLED Muni Program Tons	Column 5 OTHER RECYCLED Muni Program Tons			Combined Residential & NonRes Tons	Residential Tons				
includes Mashantucket Pequot tonnage (8,079 tons)															
LISBON	0.00	173.00	0.00	376.11	0	56.39	10.68	443.18	0.00	3,674.68	1,690.29	74.14	4348	1,747	
LITCHFIELD	149.73	315.72	623.86	1315.46	2,372.50	69.89	21.96	3,779.81	456.17	5,395.85	1,295.06	20.86	8333	3,567	445.26
LYME	247.76	254.06	297.43	305.00	87.00	22.11	29.29	443.40	99.48	759.58	632.72	0.90	2401	219	
<b>MADISON GUILFORD</b>	<b>180.68</b>	<b>243.62</b>	<b>3,678.17</b>	<b>4,959.34</b>	<b>2,074.44</b>	<b>147.98</b>	<b>141.57</b>	<b>7,323.33</b>	<b>113.05</b>	<b>21,740.37</b>	<b>1,067.96</b>	<b>76.81</b>	<b>40,714</b>	<b>12,092</b>	<b>0</b>
MANCHESTER	147.44	427.14	4291.45	12432.22	8,605.00	244.02	105.31	21,386.55	132.43	34,252.85	1,176.85	190.82	58211	27,606	
MANSFIELD	105.74	162.51	1362.65	2094.22	40.00	66.55	70.40	2,271.17	109.82	9,247.27	717.57	31.79	25774	11,460	
MARLBOROUGH	221.75	221.78	713.03	713.12	5.91	110.83	41.73	871.59	0.00	2,622.85	815.69	35.29	6431	1,218	
MERIDEN	87.38	240.44	2641.31	7268.12	3,534.00	73.77	47.44	10,923.33	342.38	30,014.47	992.94	1,187.47	60456	21,646	
MIDDLEBURY	226.03	273.55	855.62	1035.52	363.30	89.18	44.37	1,532.37	0.00	2,644.09	698.48	3.57	7571	3,802	
<b>MIDDLEFIELD DURHAM</b>	<b>160.69</b>	<b>182.50</b>	<b>946.93</b>	<b>1,075.48</b>	<b>1,328.69</b>	<b>196.26</b>	<b>92.08</b>	<b>2,692.51</b>	<b>106.53</b>	<b>5,792.70</b>	<b>982.98</b>	<b>281.22</b>	<b>11,786</b>	<b>4,063</b>	<b>0</b>
MIDDLETOWN	68.17	220.17	1613.23	5210.75	7,671.03	7.38	117.03	13,006.19	465.12	34,278.71	1,448.41	2.23	47333	27,225	
<b>MILFORD</b>	<b>0.43</b>	<b>286.85</b>	<b>11.35</b>	<b>7621.07</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7,621.07</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>28,573.98</b>	<b>1,075.48</b>	<b>122.54</b>	<b>53137</b>	<b>28,304</b>	
MONROE	0.23	179.12	2.25	1776.35	474.00	32.47	31.19	2,314.01	0.00	6,117.16	616.84	162.78	19834	5,609	
<b>MONTVILLE</b> High tonnage may be due to casino MSW	<b>152.28</b>	<b>309.54</b>	<b>1500.99</b>	<b>3050.99</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,050.99</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23,219.43</b>	<b>2,355.75</b>	<b>739.26</b>	<b>19713</b>	<b>13,214</b>	
MORRIS	159.58	159.58	187.11	187.11	0	25.00	0	212.11	30.04	843.23	719.17	2.93	2345	451	
NAUGATUCK	4.45	269.82	70.52	4277.67	748.47	82.50	74.48	5,183.12	1,219.76	15,562.96	981.67	6.23	31707	7,713	
NEW BRITAIN	116.66	213.60	4254.44	7789.71	1,205.84	132.24	69.63	9,197.42	2,022.50	43,798.75	1,200.97	410.27	72939	25,154	
NEW CANAAN	1.39	139.75	14.02	1411.08	8,655.42	246.67	84.17	10,397.34	0.00	8,982.00	889.57	29.51	20194	6,462	
NEW FAIRFIELD	107.88	137.03	762.96	969.18	78.00	41.89	29.40	1,118.47	0.97	5,077.06	717.86	3.00	14145	1,591	
<b>NEW HARTFORD BARKHAMSTED WINCHESTER</b>	<b>166.10</b>	<b>205.44</b>	<b>1,797.52</b>	<b>2,223.32</b>	<b>506.25</b>	<b>354.61</b>	<b>105.81</b>	<b>3,189.99</b>	<b>347.55</b>	<b>11,370.05</b>	<b>1,050.64</b>	<b>156.17</b>	<b>21,644</b>	<b>5,360</b>	<b>226.67</b>
NEW HAVEN	0.12	287.49	8.14	18781.49	1,864.56	43.83	59.72	20,749.60	2,393.10	86,762.04	1,328.06	149.61	130660	80,581	
NEW LONDON	95.49	236.12	1315.12	3251.95	503.04	88.01	23.36	3,866.36	49.10	20,100.60	1,459.47	175.69	27545	13,830	
NEW MILFORD	124.73	219.84	1731.74	3052.18	1,252.46	262.16	113.00	4,679.80	0.32	15,987.45	1,151.54	168.03	27767	8,334	21.40



Column 1 CT City or Town	Column 2 BOTTLES, CANS, PAPER (BCP) RECYCLED <sup>1</sup> (Based Mostly on Permitted SW Facility Reports Submitted to the CTDEEP)				OTHER MSW RECYCLED <sup>2</sup> (other than BCP) Based Mostly on Annual Municipal Recycling Reports (AMRRs) Submitted to the CTDEEP			Column 6 Total MSW RECYCLED Sum of Columns 2d thru 5	Column 7 Home Composted & Grasscycled Estimate Based on (AMRRs)	Column 8 MSW DISPOSED <sup>3</sup> (Based Mostly on Permitted SW Facility Reports Submitted to the CTDEEP)		Column 9 Oversized MSW DISPOSED	Column 10 Residential Population <a href="#">July 2013 (DPH)</a>	Column 11 # of People Working within the Borders of the Municipality in 2014 <a href="#">DOL</a>	Column 12 Tons of other Material (not MSW) Recycled			
	Column 2a Residential Lbs/Person/Yr	Column 2b Combined Residential & NonRes Lbs/Person/Yr	Column 2c Residential Tons	Column 2d Combined Residential & NonRes) Tons	Column 3 ORGANICS RECYCLED Muni Program	Column 4 SCRAP METAL RECYCLED Muni Program	Column 5 OTHER RECYCLED Muni Program			Combined Residential & NonRes Tons	Residential Tons					Combined Res & NonRes Tons	Res & NonRes Lbs/Person/Yr	Combined Res & NonRes Tons
NEWINGTON	164.01	816.18	2522.10	12551.28	4,532.00	145.69	79.55	17,308.52	121.13	21,666.33	1,408.92	366.20	30756	17,604				
NEWTOWN	142.07	329.22	1997.07	4627.71	355.00	343.12	120.75	5,446.58	32.30	14,834.20	1,055.33	130.53	28113	8,449				
NORFOLK	199.70	199.70	167.55	167.55	0	36.42	12.07	216.04	43.93	795.95	948.69	2.94	1678	364				
NORTH BRANFORD	181.01	480.96	1299.01	3451.59	0	9.00	16.01	3,476.60	180.88	4,104.60	571.95	0.00	14353	4,369				
<b>NORTH CANAAN</b>	<b>127.09</b>	<b>137.95</b>	<b>205.95</b>	<b>223.55</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>223.55</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,422.86</b>	<b>1,495.13</b>	<b>20.59</b>	<b>3241</b>	<b>1,920</b>				
NORTH HAVEN	0.00	239.29	0.00	2864.20	4,979.00	21.59	88.52	7,953.31	621.74	15,665.14	1,308.75	183.69	23939	17,841				
NORTH STONINGTON	141.24	147.75	373.65	390.86	100.00	69.15	32.40	592.41	21.32	2,579.15	974.92	21.17	5291	1,563				
<b>NORWALK</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>250.04</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>10973.79</b>	<b>3,676.46</b>	<b>426.40</b>	<b>291.64</b>	<b>15,368.29</b>	<b>781.34</b>	<b>95,057.94</b>	<b>2,165.92</b>	<b>7.49</b>	<b>87776</b>	<b>45,063</b>	<b>3,659.94</b>			
NORWICH	107.19	410.16	2162.35	8274.34	1,180.00	0	65.43	9,519.77	461.66	23,474.17	1,163.61	1,426.42	40347	16,972				
OLD LYME	321.15	375.21	1219.10	1424.28	413.30	96.18	28.42	1,962.18	0.00	3,346.44	881.57	40.55	7592	2,643				
<b>OLD SAYBROOK</b>	<b>218.52</b>	<b>432.05</b>	<b>1119.48</b>	<b>2213.38</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>159.04</b>	<b>79.70</b>	<b>2,452.12</b>	<b>220.35</b>	<b>9,400.63</b>	<b>1,834.99</b>	<b>86.9</b>	<b>10246</b>	<b>6,188</b>				
ORANGE	0.16	583.60	1.09	4071.51	1,915.93	117.71	140.04	6,245.19	0.00	11,514.78	1,650.51	0	13953	9,906				
OXFORD	133.39	154.13	858.64	992.12	0	82.64	27.34	1,102.10	153.39	5,010.27	778.35	1.73	12874	3,272				
PLAINFIELD	54.84	170.01	417.57	1294.47	196.28	51.73	25.51	1,567.99	0.00	9,304.08	1,221.97	222.23	15228	4,324				
PLAINVILLE	145.17	288.87	1293.50	2573.87	24.33	16.54	26.53	2,641.27	613.86	11,130.82	1,249.25	16.95	17820	9,524				
PLYMOUTH	144.23	174.65	868.76	1052.02	150.00	167.22	84.50	1,453.74	101.75	4,933.78	819.09	17.42	12047	2,182				
POMFRET	69.83	147.78	146.57	310.18	0	23.24	10.74	344.16	8.40	892.79	425.34	36.72	4198	1,587				
PORTLAND	181.37	374.27	857.50	1769.54	0	143.10	42.90	1,955.54	107.88	3,851.47	814.61	154.08	9456	2,258				
PRESTON	100.03	138.89	237.83	330.22	0	65.43	20.66	416.31	30.04	2,076.60	873.44	841.40	4755	790				
PROSPECT	100.09	122.61	483.98	592.86	1,390.00	218.46	66.63	2,267.95	76.55	4,199.57	868.49	0.00	9671	2,024				
PUTNAM	64.32	270.77	304.38	1281.43	0	0	0	1,281.43	0.00	5,497.66	1,161.68	61.48	9465	6,328				
REDDING	104.95	119.63	488.63	557.00	700.00	35.85	48.11	1,340.96	274.04	2,044.44	439.10	3.48	9312	1,799				
RIDGEFIELD	210.39	298.35	2647.17	3753.79	55.76	142.30	81.88	4,033.73	544.13	16,611.99	1,320.30	11.92	25164	10,574				
ROCKY HILL	6.27	203.43	62.47	2025.64	2,892.50	245.34	1,746.93	6,910.41	78.17	12,074.78	1,212.63	690.08	19915	16,217				
ROXBURY	192.39	195.54	214.42	217.93	0	43.89	15.67	277.49	42.31	885.04	794.11	3.96	2229	328				
<b>SALEM</b>	<b>112.13</b>	<b>145.30</b>	<b>235.53</b>	<b>305.21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>305.21</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,201.75</b>	<b>572.13</b>	<b>103.05</b>	<b>4201</b>	<b>615</b>				
<b>SALISBURY SHARON</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>358.32</b>	<b>0.0</b>	<b>1,153.06</b>	<b>204.24</b>	<b>172.71</b>	<b>77.31</b>	<b>1,607.32</b>	<b>175.07</b>	<b>3,230.97</b>	<b>1,004.03</b>	<b>33.73</b>	<b>6,436</b>	<b>3,155</b>				
<b>SCOTLAND HAMPTON</b>	<b>162.91</b>	<b>168.75</b>	<b>290.55</b>	<b>300.96</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>882.72</b>	<b>494.94</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>3,567</b>	<b>277</b>				
<b>SEYMOUR</b>	<b>1.38</b>	<b>149.07</b>	<b>11.44</b>	<b>1235.13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,235.13</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8,680.47</b>	<b>1,047.67</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>16571</b>	<b>4,470</b>				

Column 1 CT City or Town	Column 2 BOTTLES, CANS, PAPER (BCP) RECYCLED <sup>1</sup> (Based Mostly on Permitted SW Facility Reports Submitted to the CTDEEP)				OTHER MSW RECYCLED <sup>2</sup> (other than BCP) Based Mostly on Annual Municipal Recycling Reports (AMRRs) Submitted to the CTDEEP			Column 6 Total MSW RECYCLED Sum of Columns 2d thru 5	Column 7 Home Composted & Grasscycled Estimate Based on (AMRRs)	Column 8 MSW DISPOSED <sup>3</sup> (Based Mostly on Permitted SW Facility Reports Submitted to the CTDEEP)		Column 9 Oversized MSW DISPOSED	Column 10 Residential Population <a href="#">July 2013 (DPH)</a>	Column 11 # of People Working within the Borders of the Municipality in 2014 <a href="#">DOL</a>	Column 12 Tons of other Material (not MSW) Recycled			
	Column 2a Residential Lbs/Person/Yr	Column 2b Combined Residential & NonRes Lbs/Person/Yr	Column 2c Residential Tons	Column 2d Combined Residential & NonRes Tons	Column 3 ORGANICS RECYCLED Muni Program	Column 4 SCRAP METAL RECYCLED Muni Program	Column 5 OTHER RECYCLED Muni Program			Combined Residential & NonRes Tons	Residential Tons					Combined Res & NonRes Tons	Res & NonRes Lbs/Person/Yr	Combined Res & NonRes Tons
SHARON SALISBURY	0	358.32	0.0	1,153.06	204.24	172.71	77.31	1,607.32	175.07	3,230.97	1,004.03	33.73	6,436	3,155				
SHELTON	0.86	260.36	17.65	5337.31	36.00	406.28	290.59	6,070.18	0.00	24,474.14	1,193.89	23.67	40999	22,639				
SHERMAN	163.59	172.89	300.19	317.25	61.82	1.24	0.12	380.43	1.29	923.46	503.25	4.75	3670	446				
SIMSBURY	232.51	348.43	2769.63	4150.50	155.50	107.03	33.58	4,446.61	31.65	14,584.61	1,224.36	107.53	23824	9,559				
SOMERS	0.00	195.39	0.00	1105.89	345.00	111.58	32.28	1,594.75	0.00	4,276.29	755.53	0	11320	2,599				
SOUTH WINDSOR	196.28	552.35	2536.58	7138.03	4,557.30	29.00	80.44	11,804.77	57.82	15,593.02	1,206.61	129.25	25846	12,662				
SOUTHBURY	88.15	217.89	875.32	2163.58	1,141.00	124.47	68.59	3,497.64	0.00	9,834.52	990.43	23.99	19859	8,198				
SOUTHINGTON	144.83	206.05	3161.82	4498.09	0	0	0	4,498.09	0	31,862.55	1,459.54	181.64	43661	15,431				
SPRAGUE	76.85	200.48	114.47	298.62	0	22.58	19.99	341.19	0.32	993.60	667.07	81.23	2979	585				
STAFFORD	122.84	557.58	732.64	3325.39	540.70	140.97	55.04	4,062.10	19.38	5,023.71	842.34	3.45	11928	3,504				
STAMFORD	167.86	465.10	10613.75	29407.09	18,575.33	666.46	312.59	48,961.47	0.00	114,977.51	1,818.46	0	126456	75,654	90.00			
STERLING VOLUNTOWN	96.55	178.06	308.51	569.00	0	56.33	17.99	643.32	0	1,875.73	586.99	314.39	6,391	695				
STONINGTON	145.48	238.19	1348.66	2208.11	5,900.00	191.94	148.12	8,448.17	1,058.12	11,512.62	1,241.86	102.10	18541	7,278				
STRATFORD	1.09	336.35	28.41	8763.92	6,578.00	406.00	154.56	15,902.48	154.39	34,342.31	1,318.02	86.04	52112	25,691				
SUFFIELD	180.16	212.29	1422.17	1675.79	147.63	23.46	44.70	1,891.58	0.00	9,043.56	1,145.62	0	15788	4,321				
THOMASTON	113.09	212.23	438.85	823.57	0	17.71	15.98	857.26	261.63	3,776.94	973.31	110.00	7761	2,861				
THOMPSON	0.50	87.11	2.33	407.40	0	0	42.59	449.99	74.29	3,661.33	782.84	494.91	9354	1,594				
TOLLAND	234.13	280.25	1746.02	2089.93	0	15.69	44.10	2,149.72	0.00	6,067.41	813.60	8.49	14915	3,764				
TORRINGTON	165.23	280.14	2942.03	4987.98	257.10	43.97	78.04	5,367.09	1,133.94	24,232.84	1,360.97	111.63	35611	16,074				
TRUMBULL	146.77	304.62	2683.83	5570.15	9,472.00	619.00	133.21	15,794.36	230.62	16,970.45	928.08	37.47	36571	15,212				
UNION	182.45	182.45	77.36	77.36	0	15.74	9.37	102.47	0.00	202.08	476.60	0.00	848	121				
VERNON	167.16	262.97	2437.32	3834.19	1,087.50	180.97	79.89	5,182.55	0.00	15,435.16	1,058.62	73.67	29161	8,568				
VOLUNTOWN STERLING	96.55	178.06	308.51	569.00	0	56.33	17.99	643.32	0	1,875.73	586.99	314.39	6,391	695				
WALLINGFORD	91.41	342.10	2063.14	7721.35	3,891.00	207.80	121.08	11,941.23	793.80	34,237.98	1,516.93	564.99	45141	27,903	97.1			
WARREN	151.75	151.75	109.79	109.79	0	7.45	75.95	193.19	59.04	540.64	747.26	0.00	1447	*				
WASHINGTON	237.63	361.23	418.94	636.84	0	65.62	12.59	715.05	503.54	2,247.22	1,274.66	7.07	3526	1,589				
WATERBURY	46.54	143.79	2552.24	7885.38	0	54.00	0	7,939.38	985.73	79,688.96	1,453.17	165.91	109676	38,871				
WATERFORD	233.90	307.38	2281.11	2997.72	321.49	132.49	67.85	3,519.55	184.76	11,333.46	1,162.11	174.74	19505	11,212				
WATERTOWN	165.62	242.01	1840.72	2689.65	0	0	0	2,689.65	0	10,784.65	970.37	42.26	22228	8,168				

Column 1 CT City or Town	Column 2 BOTTLES, CANS, PAPER (BCP) RECYCLED <sup>1</sup> (Based Mostly on Permitted SW Facility Reports Submitted to the CTDEEP)				OTHER MSW RECYCLED <sup>2</sup> (other than BCP) Based Mostly on Annual Municipal Recycling Reports (AMRRs) Submitted to the CTDEEP			Column 6 Total MSW RECYCLED Sum of Columns 2d thru 5	Column 7 Home Composted & Grasscycled Estimate Based on (AMRRs)	Column 8 MSW DISPOSED <sup>3</sup> (Based Mostly on Permitted SW Facility Reports Submitted to the CTDEEP)		Column 9 Oversized MSW DISPOSED	Column 10 Residential Population <a href="#">July 2013 (DPH)</a>	Column 11 # of People Working within the Borders of the Municipality in 2014 <a href="#">DOL</a>	Column 12 Tons of other Material (not MSW) Recycled			
	Column 2a Residential <a href="#">Lbs/Person/Yr</a>	Column 2b Combined Residential & NonRes <a href="#">Lbs/Person/Yr</a>	Column 2c Residential Tons	Column 2d Combined Residential & NonRes Tons	Column 3 ORGANICS RECYCLED Muni Program	Column 4 SCRAP METAL RECYCLED Muni Program	Column 5 OTHER RECYCLED Muni Program			Combined Residential & NonRes Tons	Residential Tons					Combined Res & NonRes Tons	Res & NonRes <a href="#">Lbs/Person/Yr</a>	Combined Res & NonRes Tons
					Combined Residential & NonRes Tons	Combined Residential & NonRes Tons	Combined Residential & NonRes Tons			Combined Residential & NonRes Tons								
WEST HARTFORD	221.34	335.30	7013.20	10624.10	10,859.25	173.94	301.48	21,958.77	60.72	36,524.91	1,152.73	481.73	63371	28,632				
<b>WEST HAVEN</b>	<b>3.83</b>	<b>168.16</b>	<b>105.31</b>	<b>4628.27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>4,628.27</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>23,429.87</b>	<b>851.28</b>	<b>29.74</b>	<b>55046</b>	<b>15,153</b>				
WESTBROOK	139.52	195.37	481.75	674.62	2,318.85	52.73	28.97	3,075.17	76.87	5,290.54	1,532.16	1.20	6906	3,927				
WESTON	0.12	117.36	0.61	608.61	0	108.23	46.80	763.64	0.00	4,455.00	859.04	11.69	10372	1,270				
WESTPORT	0.00	283.20	0.00	3866.75	1,330.79	296.07	91.57	5,585.18	0.00	14,529.36	1,064.11	138.54	27308	15,503	586.13			
WETHERSFIELD	211.53	253.64	2803.87	3361.99	3,000.00	108.56	76.67	6,547.22	400.94	14,066.87	1,061.25	375.4	26510	9,740				
WILLINGTON	169.46	213.68	505.42	637.30	0	154.95	25.58	817.83	0.00	1,429.78	479.39	1.30	5965	1,524				
WILTON	1.21	121.44	11.30	1132.88	0	110.47	45.75	1,289.10	0.00	6,519.84	698.92	0.00	18657	12,239	196.74			
<b>WINCHESTER BARKHAMSTED NEW HARTFORD</b>	<b>166.10</b>	<b>205.44</b>	<b>1,797.52</b>	<b>2,223.32</b>	<b>506.25</b>	<b>354.61</b>	<b>105.81</b>	<b>3,189.99</b>	<b>347.55</b>	<b>11,370.05</b>	<b>1,050.64</b>	<b>156.17</b>	<b>21,644</b>	<b>5,360</b>	<b>226.67</b>			
WINDHAM	124.56	426.18	1570.22	5372.59	226.77	56.73	50.03	5,706.12	0.00	14,296.20	1,134.03	89.59	25213	10,755				
WINDSOR	132.13	611.12	1925.32	8904.57	940.95	189.45	84.66	10,119.63	0	14,908.82	1,023.18	103.33	29142	23,991				
WINDSOR LOCKS	165.40	398.71	1039.80	2506.47	569.20	0.17	46.50	3,122.34	0.00	13,492.86	2,146.32	64.18	12573	12,585				
<b>WOLCOTT – Where are the BCP???</b>	<b>0.26</b>	<b>23.41</b>	<b>2.21</b>	<b>195.76</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>195.76</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8,345.16</b>	<b>997.93</b>	<b>11.56</b>	<b>16725</b>	<b>3,010</b>				
WOODBIDGE	0.00	164.07	0.00	734.63	330.00	106.85	43.62	1,215.10	0.00	2,643.00	590.28	7.73	8955	3,914				
WOODBURY	166.93	207.23	819.81	1017.70	541.25	123.43	53.81	1,736.19	0.00	4,893.76	996.49	12.77	9822	2,044				
WOODSTOCK	139.93	211.30	552.50	834.31	0	0	0.95	835.26	21.32	2,732.98	692.16	18.37	7897	1,843				



## **QUALIFIERS FOR DATA USED TO CALCULATE MSW RECYCLED & DISPOSED for EACH CONNECTICUT MUNICIPALITY**

### **Recycling Data Qualifiers:**

◆ FY2014 MSW recycling stats for each municipality are based on (1) Information reported by CT permitted solid waste recycling processing facilities and recycling transfer stations in reports submitted to the CT DEEP (tonnages of bottles, cans, cartons, and paper received from each CT municipality) and (2) the Annual Municipal Recycling Reports (AMRR) submitted to the CT DEEP (for tonnages of other material collected for recycling mostly in town operated programs).

◆ Recycling tonnages presented above for each municipality represent a subset of total material recycled from within the borders of each municipality. That subset includes tons of bottles, cans, cartons, and paper reported received by CT recycling facilities and recycling transfer stations from CT municipalities and tons of other types of recyclables recycled through town operated or town sponsored programs. Types of recyclables generally **not included** (there are exceptions) in the data presented above for each CT municipality include:

- Recyclables (other than bottles, cans, cartons, and paper) not collected through a town operated program.
- Tonnages of bottles, cans, cartons, and paper not passing through a CT permitted solid waste facility and not reported by the collector or municipality (AMRR) e.g. backhauled by national retail chains to out-of-state distribution centers for recycling.
- Recycling tonnages attributed to individual municipalities generally do not include glass, metal, and plastic recycled through the CT bottle deposit law infrastructure.
- Tonnages attributed to individual municipalities do not include: most of the commercially recycled scrap metal, automobile scrap metal, scrap metal taken by residents directly to a scrap metal dealer (i.e. not recycled through the municipal scrap metal program); waste oil recycled by businesses or garages; organics not recycled through a municipal program; storage batteries recycled through the Connecticut storage battery deposit infrastructure.

◆ The tonnage of leaves recorded as being recycled (composted) at municipal sites is usually estimated on the basis of cubic yards. The reliability of the conversion factor may be affected by the moisture content of the leaves.

◆ An attempt is made to estimate tonnages of yard and food waste home composted and tonnages of grass “grasscycled” based on municipal demographics and descriptions of municipal programs to promote home composting and grasscycling.

◆ Tonnage of disaster debris, landclearing, and demolition material reported recycled (by the annual municipal recycling reports) is presented in the column “Other Waste Recycled” and is not included in the MSW stats.

◆ Tonnages of MSW reported as “recycled” from each municipality reflect the amount of MSW recyclables reported **collected for recycling** from within the borders of that municipality, and **not the amount actually used to make products**. Contamination, out-throws, residue, etc. may be disposed both at the recycling processing facility and at the mill or manufacturing facility using the material to make a product. That residue is not subtracted from the tonnages reported recycled by each municipality.

In contrast, **statewide** MSW recycling stats are based on the amount of bottles, cans, cartons, and paper **marketed** by the recycling processing facilities (not the amount collected or reported received by those processing facilities).

***In the future, in order to achieve greater transparency about the tonnage of recyclable material actually used to make a product, an attempt will be made to adjust town-by-town recycling stats to account for the quality of the material collected and the quality of the processing system used to prepare the recyclables to market specifications. This anticipated change in the paradigm for reporting recycling stats is contingent upon the availability of more comprehensive and accurate data re the quality of the material received by end users such as manufacturers and mills.***

### **MSW Disposal Data Qualifiers**

◆ FY2014 MSW disposal stats for each municipality are based on data submitted to the CTDEEP by CT permitted solid waste facilities and by CT municipalities; their accuracy is **contingent upon the accuracy of reporting** by both the reporting SW facility and the haulers delivering the MSW to the facilities.

◆ Data regarding MSW hauled directly out-of-state for disposal (without passing through a CT permitted SW facility) is generally not reported to the CT DEEP (haulers are required to report such tonnages to the CT DEEP and to municipalities pursuant to CGS Sec. 22a-220a(j), but it appears that compliance with this requirement is poor). As a result of such data gaps, disposal rates for some municipalities as presented in the table above are actually higher and their recycling percentages are actually lower than indicated by the reported data.

◆ Tonnages of MSW disposed do not always include the tonnage of oversized- MSW (furniture, carpets, etc.) which is sometimes reported to the CTDEEP as "bulky waste" or "construction and demolition" waste (C&D waste) instead of being reported as MSW.

◆ MSW disposal figures represent combined residential and non-residential disposal tonnages.

***In the future, it is anticipated that the CTDEEP will require MSW disposal tonnages to be identified and reported as either residential or non-residential in order to better track the effectiveness and success of strategies and programs that address reduction of residential waste vs non-residential waste.***

## **Comparing Town Recycling Rates**

Please keep in mind that it is somewhat misleading to judge the success of a municipality's recycling program by arbitrarily comparing one town's recycling rate or disposal rate to that of another town:

1) Recycling potential varies from municipality to municipality and can be affected by demographics.

- For example – historically towns or cities with higher per capita incomes tended to generate more newspapers and magazines – which contributed to higher potential recycling rates (this probably has changed as the media used to deliver news has changed).
- Recycling in multi-family dwellings can present greater challenges than recycling in single family residences. That being said, effective recycling strategies do exist for multi-family buildings.
- The number and type of businesses, institutions, etc. in a municipality will affect the municipality's MSW stats e.g. the per capita MSW disposal rate, generation rate, and recycling rate.

2) Obtaining accurate MSW disposal and recycling tonnages by town or city of origin is challenging. The origin of MSW disposed or recycled as reported by Connecticut SW facilities in the reports they submit to the CTDEEP is not always accurate or complete. Accuracy of such data is contingent upon accurate reporting by both the hauler and the solid waste facility.

The above qualifiers need to be considered when trying to compare one municipality's recycling percentage or rate to that of another municipality.