

**Electronic Clinical Quality Measures (eCQM) Design Group  
Meeting Summary**

Meeting Date	Meeting Time	Location – Zoom Web Conference
April 18, 2017	10:00 am – 11:00 am	<b>Webinar link:</b> <a href="https://zoom.us/j/159823584">https://zoom.us/j/159823584</a>  <b>Telephone:</b> (408) 638-0968 Meeting ID: 159 823 584

Design Group Members					
Patricia Checko, DrPH, MPH	x	Michael Hunt, DO		Nitu Kashyap, MD	x
David Fusco, MS	x	Robert Rioux, MA	x	Craig Summers, MD	x
Tom Woodruff, PhD	x	Nicolangelo Scibelli, LCSW	x		
Design Group Support					
Karen Bell, MD, CedarBridge	x	Wayne Houk, CedarBridge	x	Sarju Shah, HIT PMO	
Carol Robinson, CedarBridge	x	Betsy Boyd-Flynn, CedarBridge	x	Faina Dookh, SIM PMO	x
Sandra Czunas	x	Mark Schaefer, SIM PMO	x	Allan Hackney, HIT PMO	x

Summary	
<b>Introduction</b>	Dr. Bell expressed gratitude for the prodigious amount of work accomplished by the Design Group members in such a short amount of time.
<b>Review and Finalize Governance, Operational, and General Recommendations</b>	<p><u>Governance Recommendations</u></p> <p>The list of Governance Recommendations of the Final Report was reviewed by the Design Group members. It was noted that the list is not an all-inclusive list of recommendations, but that the main considerations arising out of Design Group discussions are listed. The group was polled regarding the inclusion of these recommendations in the final report and any additional comments or discussion points. The type of organization (non-profit, quasi-governmental, etc.) necessary to provide governance for a quality measurement system was discussed. It was recommended that an additional level of detail be added to the report, noting that the decision regarding governance type should be made by the next work group. It was also recommended that other governance models in the state be shared if possible.</p> <p>It was noted that the sustainability of the system’s business model will depend largely on the type of governing entity that is decided upon. The importance of choosing the appropriate governance model was also noted as there are examples throughout the country of quality measurement system governance models that have not been sustainable.</p> <p><u>Operational Recommendations</u></p> <p>The list of Operational Recommendations of the Final Report was reviewed by the Design Group members. It was noted that patient consent for the use of such a quality measurement system that captures more than clinical data may be different than consent related to a Health Information Exchange, and that this matter will need to be addressed by the next work group.</p>

**Electronic Clinical Quality Measures (eCQM) Design Group  
Meeting Summary**

	<p><u>General Recommendations</u></p> <p>The list of Operational Recommendations of the Final Report was reviewed by the Design Group members. It was recommended that the term “clinical quality measurement (CQM)” throughout the Final Report and Recommendations be altered to reflect more data types. It was recommended that “statewide quality measurement system” be used throughout the report, and that a sentence explaining this phrase be added to the report.</p> <p>It was noted that the system must keep the patient at the center, and that the system not be a vehicle for advantage of one stakeholder over another. It was recommended that a sentence be added to the governance recommendations restating the value proposition of improving health and healthcare and keeping the patient as the “north star.”</p>
<p><b>Discuss and Validate Final Report and Recommendations</b></p>	<p>It was noted that final changes to the Final Report and Recommendations would be sent to the Design Group by end of day Tuesday, April 18, and that final feedback should be delivered by Wednesday morning, April 19, in preparation for the Thursday, April 20 Health IT Advisory Council Meeting. It was recommended that Nicolangelo Scibelli and Patricia Checko present the recommendations to the Council.</p>

<b>Action Item</b>	<b>Responsible Party</b>	<b>Due Date</b>
Send revised draft of Final Report and Recommendations	CedarBridge Group	4/18/17
Send final feedback on Final Report and Recommendations	Design Group Members	4/19/17