### **REHABILITATION STUDY REPORT**

State Project No. 63-703 Bridge No. 02555 in Hartford Interstate 91 over Clark Dike Service Road

Prepared For: State of Connecticut Department of Transportation Newington, Connecticut



Submitted: February 2016



\$ 860.290.4100

www.cmeengineering.com





# Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Location Map	2
Introduction	3
Description	3
Field Observations	4
Load Rating	6
Seismic Considerations	6
rehabilitation Alternates	7
Recommendations for Rehabilitation	8
Utility Impacts	8
Substandard Features and Potential Exceptions	8
Appendices	10
Appendix A: Photographs	
Appendix B: Cost Comparisons	
Appendix C: Existing Bridge Plans	
Appendix D: Proposed Bridge Plans	
Appendix E: Substructure Deterioration Quantities	
Appendix F: ConnDOT Inspection and Maintenance Reports	



Approved Repair Code

К	

#### **Recommended Primary Repair Code**

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### Scope of Rehabilitation Work

Based upon the inspection and evaluation of Bridge No. 02555, we recommend Alternate 1 consisting of the following:

- Modifying east embankment slope.
- Patching the existing culvert and wingwalls.

Reasons for the recommended rehabilitation work:

- The Interstate 91/84 Interchange and Charter Oak Bridge Project requires widening of the northbound roadway above.
- The existing structure has deterioration that will be addressed and repaired to extend the service life of the bridge.

#### Maintenance and Protection of Traffic

Maintenance and Protection of Traffic on I-91 for the rehabilitation required at this location will be part of a project-wide traffic staging and control plan. The work outlined in this report will be performed when the I-91 NB corridor is widened and the duration of the traffic staging will take into account the selected rehabilitation.

Clark Dike Service Road will be closed for the duration of rehabilitation at this site. Provisions for periodic passage of service vehicles can be accommodated.

#### **Notable Facts**

\$ 386,000
None Anticipated
Potentially - 42" R.C.P sewer pipe 8" water main (2) 3" Iron Conduits for Frontier Communications (3) 4" Iron Conduits for Eversource
Vertical Clearance of 12'-4" Substandard Lateral Clearance
73.2% (Per 2013 ConnDOT Inspection Report)
HS-20
128,400



Bridge No. 02555 Location: Hartford, CT February 8, 2016

#### **LOCATION MAP**





#### **INTRODUCTION**

CME Associates, Inc. has been retained by the Connecticut Department of Transportation (ConnDOT) to perform the rehabilitation evaluation for this bridge as part of State Project No. 63-703. Field inspections were conducted during April 2015.

This report describes the findings of the comprehensive inspection of this bridge and presents our recommendations for rehabilitation to ensure its structural and functional adequacy, as well as extend its service life.

### DESCRIPTION

#### General

The Interstate 91/84 Interchange and Charter Oak Bridge Project includes widening Interstate 91 northbound south of the Charter Oak Bridge. This widening impacts eight structures, including culverts and bridges. The roadway above Bridge No. 02555 will be widened approximately 2'-5" to accommodate widening of I-91 northbound.

Bridge No. 02555 is an approximately 217' long reinforced concrete box culvert that carries Clark Dike Service Road and utilities under Interstate 91 in the City of Hartford to the Metropolitan District Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility. Clark Dike Service Road is within the Rights-of-Way of the City of Hartford Public Works west of I-91 and The Metropolitan District east of I-91 Right. The bridge was originally constructed in 1964 and consists of a 14' x 21'-6" culvert with a 14' x 14' clear opening below approximately 16' of fill. There are reinforced concrete headwalls and wingwalls at both sides of the culvert. The concrete box culvert is supported on spread footings.

On Interstate 91 there are metal beam rails along the right shoulders with a reinforced concrete median barrier between bounds. Interstate 91 was rehabilitated in 1992. The rehabilitation work included removing median metal beam rail, installing a new concrete median barrier, and replacing metal beam rails along right shoulders on the northbound and southbound roadways. The roadway above the bridge has a minimum curb-to-curb width of 67' for I-91 northbound and 67' for I-91 southbound.

#### **Highway Geometrics**

The Bridge No. 02555 passes under Interstate 91 with no skew angle. The bridge is located within a horizontal tangent, I-91 northbound is located within a +1.000% vertical tangent, and I-91 southbound is located within a +1.148% vertical tangent. The roadway has a varying cross slope in the lanes and 1/2" per foot cross slope in the shoulders. The roadway profiles are labeled in the direction of station numbering, south to north.

#### Interstate 91 Northbound and Southbound

Interstate 91 is classified as an Urban Principal Arterial-Interstate according to the functional classification maps but in Connecticut, all interstates are considered Freeways, despite their functional classification. The bridge is on the National Highway System (NHS) and is part of the Strategic Highway Network (STRAHNET). Interstate 91 northbound has a posted speed limit of 55 mph approximately 0.2 miles before and approximately 0.2 miles after the bridge. Interstate 91 Southbound has a posted speed limit of 55 mph approximately 0.8 miles before and approximately 0.2 miles after the bridge. The design speed for an Urban Freeway in a built-up area ranges from 50-55 mph, according to the ConnDOT Highway Design Manual.



The curb-to-curb roadway width over the culvert is 67' in the northbound and southbound directions, which is consistent with the approach roadway widths. Based on the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Coding Manual, the minimum curb-to-curb width for four lanes of traffic to avoid functional obsolescence is 56' in the northbound direction and 56' for four lanes of traffic in the southbound direction. Current ConnDOT Multi-Lane Principal Urban Arterial-Interstate design criteria specify a minimum paved width of 54', comprised of 12' lanes with 2' to 4' left shoulder and 4' to 8' right shoulder. Again Connecticut considers all interstates as Freeways, despite their functional classification. Accordingly, current ConnDOT Urban Freeway design criteria (where truck volumes exceed 250 DDHV) specifies a minimum northbound paved width of 72' and a minimum southbound paved width of 72', comprised of 12' lanes with 12' left and right shoulders; therefore, the curb-to-curb width for northbound and southbound meets the FHWA Coding Manual criteria, and ConnDOT Urban Principal Arterial-Interstate design standards, but does not meet ConnDOT Urban Freeway design standards.

#### Clark Dike Service Road

The roadway under Bridge No. 02555 is a service road providing access to the MDC Hartford Water Pollution Control Facility. According to FHWA, an under passing roadway not on a Federal-aid system is assumed to be a major or minor collector or a local road for considering functional obsolescence due to vertical and horizontal clearances.

The minimum vertical underclearance, 12'-4" at Joint No. 10, is less than the current ConnDOT design criteria of 14'-3" for existing bridges. Based on FHWA Coding Manual, the minimum vertical clearance to avoid functional obsolescence is 14'-0". Therefore the vertical clearance does not meet FHWA Coding Manual criteria or ConnDOT design standards.

The total lateral underclearance is 14'. Based on FHWA Coding Manual, the minimum lateral clearance to avoid functional obsolescence is 8' from the shoulder line to culvert wall. In order to obtain minimum lateral clearances, it would need a 16' plus roadway width. Therefore the lateral clearance does not meet FHWA Coding Manual criteria.

Based on the current NBIS appraisal rating for structure evaluation is a "6", and vertical and horizontal underclearance is a "3", the bridge is considered functionally obsolete.

#### Traffic

According to the most recent inspection report dated June 11, 2014, the estimated 2013 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) on the bridge is approximately 128,400 vehicles with 9% truck traffic.

#### **FIELD OBSERVATIONS**

The NBIS condition rating for Item 62, culvert was found to be in satisfactory condition (rating = 6); therefore, the bridge is not considered structurally deficient.

#### Pavement

In the travel lanes there is 5.5" of bituminous concrete, 9" of reinforced concrete pavement, and 6" of subbase. In the right shoulders there is 5.5" of bituminous concrete with 6.5" of processed aggregate base and in the left shoulders there is 12" bituminous concrete with 10" of processed aggregate. There is a precast concrete median barrier between northbound and southbound.



The bituminous concrete pavement is in very good condition (rating = 8) with isolated transverse cracks.

#### Culvert

The culvert is a 14' x 21'-6" reinforced concrete box culvert below approximately 16' of fill. The culvert is approximately 217' long with a 1'-6" thick top slab, 1'-8" thick walls, and a 2' thick bottom slab. The 23' long wingwalls are supported by a 2'-6" thick slab along with the first section of the culvert at inlet and outlet, approximately 9'.

The concrete is in satisfactory condition (rating = 6) and exhibits the following conditions:

- Light honey combing throughout.
- Missing joint filler between panels up to full height.
- Gaps up to 3/4" wide between panels.
- A few popouts up to 6" diameter and potential spalls from shallow rebar in the walls.
- A few isolated spalls with exposed rusted rebar up to 6' high x 6" wide x 3" deep with adjacent hollow areas.
- Exposed rebar typically exhibiting laminar rust with minor section loss.
- Scrapes, map cracking, bleeding through of the deck chairs, and between some units there is misalignment up to 3-3/4" at the roof.

The reinforced concrete headwalls are in good condition (rating = 7) and the east headwall shows areas of light to medium scale with a shallow exposed rebar at the north end.

The vertical retaining wall is in good condition (rating = 7) and exhibits vertical cracks and potential spalls.

#### Approaches

There is a Type R-I metal beam rail along I-91 northbound and southbound right shoulders.

The metal beam approach guiderail is in good condition (rating = 7) and exhibits, weak posts, minor scrapes, and a dented rail at the northeast trailing end.

The approach pavement and approach embankment are in very good condition (rating = 8). The approach pavement exhibits no deterioration and the embankment exhibits heavy vegetation growth.

#### Drainage

There are basins north of the structure along the curb lines which drain northwest of the structure.

#### Utilities

The following utilities are located in the culvert below Clark Dike Service Road:

- 42" R.C.P sewer pipe
- 8" water main
- (2) 3" Iron Conduits for Frontier Communications
- (3) 4" Iron Conduits for Eversource

Bridge No. 02555 Location: Hartford, CT February 8, 2016

There is also a buried fiber optics cable along the west embankment.

There are four drainage basins on I-91 just north of the bridge, one in each shoulder. There is also a light pole on I-91 to the north of the culvert on the southbound fascia.

There are high voltage aerial power lines that cross I-91 approximately 150' north of the bridge with transmission towers east and west of the culvert.

#### Property

Considering the width of the existing right-of-way, approximately 480' east from center of I-91, takings or easements are not anticipated. Noise impacts to commercial and private property owners in the immediate vicinity surrounding the bridge are anticipated to me minimal and the noise level is not anticipated to exceed ambient noise generated by current highway traffic.

#### **Cultural Resources**

Developed commercial areas are present to the north of the bridge. Brainard Airport is approximately 0.5 miles to the northeast. To the west approximately 0.3 miles, the Providence & Worcester Railroad provides freight service to the Wethersfield Secondary.

#### **Environmental Resources**

The Connecticut River is located approximately 0.6 miles east of the bridge with access at Charter Oak Landing approximately 1.7 miles to the north. Wethersfield Cove is approximately 0.2 miles to the south.

#### LOAD RATING

The existing bridge is not posted for live load restriction. No independent load rating analyses were performed. In addition, ConnDOT's latest inspection report dated June 11, 2014 denotes that no rating analysis was performed; however, the report lists values for Item 64, Operating Rating and Item 66 Inventory rating as follows:

•	Inventory Rating	36.0 Tons
•	<b>Operating Rating</b>	99.0 Tons

Additionally, Items 63 and 65 in the latest inspect report denote that no rating analysis was performed. The load rating may be based on judgment since the existing culvert structure is below approximately 16' of fill resulting in minimal contribution from live load effects.

#### **SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS**

According to AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications in Section 3.10 Earthquake Effects, seismic effects for buried structures need not be considered, except where they cross active faults. Connecticut does not cross any active fault lines; however, AASHTO states that the potential for soil liquefaction and slope movements shall be considered for these structures.



### **REHABILITATION ALTERNATES**

Based on field inspections, engineering analysis, and a review of ConnDOT's Bridge Inspection Reports, Bridge No. 02555 was found to be functionally obsolete. Obsolescence is a result of inadequate vertical and horizontal clearance. CME has evaluated two possible rehabilitation options to ensure its structural adequacy, extend its service life, and accommodate I-91 northbound widening as part of the I-91 corridor project.

#### **Cost Considerations**

Appendix B contains an itemized cost estimate for all of the alternatives. The table below provides a summary of the total costs.

Rehabilitation Alternates	Cost of Bridge Only	Additional Costs	Rounded Total Costs
1 – Steepen Slope, Structure Repairs	\$ 223,000	\$ 163,000	\$ 386,000
2 – Remove Existing Headwall, Construct New Headwall, and Structure Repairs	\$ 390,000	\$ 295,000	\$ 685,000
Additional Costs - Breakdown	Alternate 1	Alternate 2	
Clearing and Grubbing	\$ 3,700	\$6,500	
Maintenance and Protection of Traffic	\$ 1,300	\$ 2,200	
Mobilization	\$ 18,400	\$ 32,200	
Construction Staking	\$ 2,500	\$ 4,300	
Minor Items	\$ 22,300	\$ 39,000	
Incidentals and Contingencies	\$ 81,500	\$ 142,400	
Non-Contract Items	\$ O	\$ 10,000	
Escalation to Year of Construction	\$ 32,800	\$ 58,200	
Rounded Total Additional Costs:	\$ 163,000	\$ 295,000	-

#### Alternate 1 – Steepen Embankment Slope

This alternative consists of modifying the east embankment slope due to widening of the roadway while maintaining a slope between 1.5 to 1 and the existing slope of 2 to 1. It also includes patching the existing culvert and wingwalls. These structural repairs are estimated to extend the service life of Bridge No. 02555 approximately 25 years at which time the culvert will likely need rehabilitation.

Advantages Alternate 1	Disadvantages Alternate 1
+ Less added fill than Alternate 2	<ul> <li>A slope of 2 to 1 cannot be achieved, however at slope between 1.5 to 1 and existing 2 to 1 can using modified rock fill or similar type of slope protection.</li> </ul>
+ Cost is less than Alternate 2	<ul> <li>Compressive soils at utilities should be checked with added fill on culvert</li> </ul>
+ No culvert modifications required	



#### Alternate 2 – Add Headwall

This alternative consists of removing the existing stub headwall, constructing a new taller and wider headwall to retain the additional soil that results from widening the roadway and maintaining a 2 to 1 slope, and patching the existing culvert and wingwalls. The headwall will extend beyond the limits of the existing structure supported on spread footings. These structural repairs are estimated to extend the service life of Bridge No. 02555 approximately 25 years at which time the culvert will likely need rehabilitation.

Advantages Alternate 2	Disadvantages Alternate 2
<ul> <li>+ A 2 to 1 slope can be achieved and no slope protection is required</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Temporary Earth Retaining System may be necessary to retain the existing embankment and allow construction of the headwall and spread footings outside the limits of the existing culvert.</li> </ul>
+	<ul> <li>Cost is more than Alternate 1</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>More fill added than Alternate 1</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Compressive soils at utilities should be checked with added fill on culvert</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Retaining wall would have to extend beyond the culvert to maintain soil behind existing wingwall</li> </ul>

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REHABILITATION**

Based on work performed to date and the observations in the field, we recommend Alternate 1 as the preferred alternative for the rehabilitation of Bridge No. 02555. It is the lowest cost alternate and addresses the scope of widening I-91 NB and repairs the deterioration of the existing structure without impacting the utilities below Clark Dike Service Road.

#### UTILITY IMPACTS

The following utilities are located in the culvert below Clark Dike Service Road may be effected by the compressive soils added on the culvert:

- 42" R.C.P sewer pipe
- 8" water main
- (2) 3" Iron Conduits for Frontier Communications
- (3) 4" Iron Conduits for Eversource

One of the four drainage basins on I-91 will need relocation due to widening.

#### SUBSTANDARD FEATURES AND POTENTIAL EXCEPTIONS

Based on a review of the controlling design criteria identified in the Connecticut Department of Transportation Highway Design Manual for a 4R freeway project, a design exception will be required for the following:



Bridge No. 02555 Location: Hartford, CT February 8, 2016

- Vertical Clearance of 12'-4"
- Substandard Lateral Clearance



#### **APPENDICES**

Appendix A	<ul> <li>Photographs</li> </ul>
Appendix B	<ul> <li>Cost Comparisons</li> </ul>
Appendix C	<ul> <li>Existing Bridge Plans</li> </ul>
Appendix D	<ul> <li>Proposed Bridge Plans</li> </ul>
Appendix E	Substructure Deterioration Quantities
Appendix F	<ul> <li>ConnDOT Inspection and Maintenance Reports</li> </ul>



Appendix A: Photographs





East Elevation of Bridge No. 02555



West Elevation of Bridge No. 02555





Looking East from Bridge (Note: Water and sewer manholes in roadway)



Bridge from South Approach (Note: Interstate 91 Northbound)





Looking East from Bridge (Note: Water and sewer manholes in roadway)



Bridge from South Approach (Note: Interstate 91 Southbound)





Looking West from Bridge



Typical Overlay Condition (Note: New pavement in lanes)





Catch Basin at NW Corner



Southeast Wingwall





Northeast Wingwall



Northeast Wingwall (Note: Area of erosion behind)





Southeast Wingwall (Note: Area of erosion behind)



Northwest Wingwall





Southwest Wingwall



Inside Frame Looking East from West End





Panel 1 Southwall (Note: Spall with exposed reinforcement)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

Panels 1 thru 4 North Wall

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

Joint at Roof Panels 1 & 2 (Note: Misalignment typical in first and last four spans)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

Panel 6 South Wall

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

Typical Vertical Joint with Gap (Note: Joint between panels 6 and 7 south wall shown)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

Panel 8 at Joint 8 South Wall (Note: Spalls and gap in joint)

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

Panel 9 North Wall at Joint 8 with Spall and Exposed Reinforcement

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

Vertical Misalignment between Panels 10 & 11 up to 3"

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

Service Cabinet at Northeast Corner

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

*East Roadway Leading up to Bridge (Note: Drainage structure and aerial electrical feed for billboard)* 

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

High Tension Wires over Roadway Just North of Bridge

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

Drainage Channel East of Bridge Looking South

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

Drainage Channel East of Bridge Looking North

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

Drainage Structure to East of Bridge

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

Drainage Structure Channel Looking West from Bridge

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

Wetlands Southeast of Bridge

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

Appendix B: Cost Comparisons

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

		COMPUTATION BY JLS	DATE 11	1/12/15	SHEET OF	1
		CHECKED BY	DATE	1/13/15	CME PROJECT NO.	
	CME	CLIENT	<u> </u>	(	CLIENT PROJECT NO.	
ITEM	CIVIL	Charter Oak Bridge Project			063-0703	3
Bridge # 02555 A	Alternate 1 - Steepen Embankment Slope					
Altornato 1:	Steenen Embankment Slope					
1 Steepen Sl	one of Northbound Embankment					
2. Patch the e	xisting culvert and wingwalls					
	0 0					
STRUCTURE	ITEMS					
ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION		<u>UNIT</u>	<u>QUANTITY</u>	UNIT PRICE	<u>TOTAL</u>
0213100	GRANULAR FILL		CY	30	\$33.20	\$1,000
0520907	REPLACE JOINT SEAL		LF	310	\$52.40	\$17,000
0601070	CLASS "S" CONCRETE		CY	20	\$9,546.20	\$191,000
0602000	DEFORMED STEEL BARS		LB	2,400	\$1.20	\$3,000
0728001	CRUSHED STONE FOR SLOPE	E PROTECTION	TON	56	\$58.20	\$4,000
0913014	5' CHAIN LINK FENCE (BRIDGI	Ξ)	LF	70	\$95.00	\$7,000
				ST	RUCTURE TOTAL:	\$223,000
			STRUCTUE			¢222 000
			STRUCTUR	E FLUS KOAD	WAT SUBTUTAL I.	\$223,000
MINOR ITEM	<u>S</u>		<u>UNIT</u>	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
Minor Items (*	10% of Subtotal 1)		LS	1	\$22,300.00	\$22,300
					SUBTOTAL 2	\$22,300
	TEMS		UNIT	OUANTITY		τοται
Clearing & Gr	ubbing (1.5% of Subtotal 1 and 2)			1	\$3 679 50	\$3 700
M & P of Traff	(0.5%  of Subtotal 1 and 2)		15	1	\$1,226,50	\$1,300
Mobilization (	75% of Subtotal 1 and 2)		15	1	\$18 397 50	\$18.400
Construction	Staking $(1.0\% \text{ of Subtotal 1 and 2})$		15	1	\$2,453,00	\$2 500
Construction			20	,	SUBTOTAL 3	\$25,900
						<i><b>4</b></i> <b>20,000</b>
	G PERCENTAGES					TOTAL
Incidentals (1	0% of Subtotal 1, 2, and 3)				10% INCIDENTALS	\$27,200
Contingency (	20% of Subtotal 1, 2, and 3)			2	0% CONTINGENCY	\$54,300
					SUBTOTAL 4	\$81,500
ESCALATION						τοται
Say 3% por V	ear to 2018				SUBTOTAL 5	101AL \$32.900
Say 5% per 1					SUBTOTAL S	φ <b>32,800</b>
					TOTAL	\$385,500
					GRAND TOTAL	\$386.000
				L		+0,000
I						

		COMPUTATION BY JLS	DATE 11	si 1/12/15	HEET OF 1	1
		CHECKED BY	DATE	C	ME PROJECT NO.	
	CME	CLIENT	11	CI	LIENT PROJECT NO.	
ITEM	CIVIE	Charter Oak Bridge Project			06	3-0703
Bridge # 02555 Al	ternate 2 - Add Headwall					
Alternate 2: /	hd Headwall					
1. Drive tempo	v sheet piling					
2. Remove cur	rent stub headwall					
3. Construct ne	w headwall					
4. Fill with perv	ous structure backfill					
5. Patch the ex	isting culvert and wingwalls					
STRUCTURE	TEMS					
ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION		UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
0203000	STRUCTURE EXCAVATION - EA	ARTH (COMPLETE)	CY	790	\$23.40	\$19.000
0213000	GRAVEL FILL	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	CY	20	\$45.00	\$1,000
0216000	PERVIOUS STRUCTURE BACK	FILL	CY	390	\$45.80	\$18,000
0520907	REPLACE JOINT SEAL		LF	310	\$52.40	\$17,000
0601000	CLASS "A" CONCRETE		CY	150	\$546.20	\$82,000
0601070	CLASS "S" CONCRETE		CY	20	\$9,546.20	\$191,000
0602000	DEFORMED STEEL BARS		LB	20,400	\$1.20	\$25,000
0714020		N	SF	4,600	\$6.20	\$29,000
0913014	5 CHAIN LINK FENCE (BRIDGE		LF	60	\$95.00 \$338.30	\$0,000 \$2,000
0974001	REMOVAL OF EXISTING MASO		CT	C C		\$2,000
				31	RUCTURE TOTAL.	\$390,000
			STRUCTU	RE PLUS ROAD	WAY SUBTOTAL 1:	\$390,000
						. ,
MINOR ITEMS			UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
Minor Items (10	0% of Subtotal 1)		LS	1	\$39,000.00	\$39,000
					SUBTOTAL 2	\$39,000
	-MS		UNIT	QUANTITY	LINIT PRICE	τοται
Clearing & Gru	bbing (1.5% of Subtotal 1 and 2)		LS	1	\$6.435.00	\$6.500
M & P of Traffic	(Service Road) (0.5% of Subtotal 1	and 2)	LS	1	\$2,145.00	\$2,200
Mobilization (7.	5% of Subtotal 1 and 2)	,	LS	1	\$32,175.00	\$32,200
Construction S	aking (1% of Subtotal 1 and 2)		LS	1	\$4,290.00	\$4,300
					SUBTOTAL 3	\$45,200
ENGINEER						TOTA
ENGINEERING	K of Subtotal 1, 2, and 2)					101AL \$47.500
Contingonov (2	% of Subtotal 1, 2, and 3)			20		\$47,500 \$04,000
Contingency (2				20	SUBTOTAL 4	\$142 400
						÷·· <u>-</u> , · ••
NON-CONTRA	CT ITEMS		UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
Utility Relocation	n		LS	1	\$10,000.00	\$10,000
					SUBTOTAL 5	\$10,000
ESCALATION	I U YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION					TOTAL
Say 3% per Ye	ai iu 2018				SUBIUIALO	<b>⊅</b> ⊃ၓ,∠∪∪
					TOTAL	\$684,800
					GRAND TOTAL	\$685,000

Appendix C: Existing Bridge Plans

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

# EXISTING SECTION

(LOOKING NORTH) SCALE: 1" = 20'

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

Appendix D: Proposed Bridge Plans

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)

63-703

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

Appendix E: Substructure Deterioration Quantities

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### CONCRETE DETERIORATION LOCATIONS

LOCATION	UNIT	QUANTITY
CULVERT	CUBIC FEET	2.4
WINGWALLS	CUBIC FEET	0.01
SUBTOTAL	CUBIC FEET	2.4
TOTAL	CUBIC YARD	0.1
SAY	CUBIC YARD	20

DEPARTMENT OF	TRANSPORTATI		C C M E	DRAWING TITLE: CULVERT AND WINGWALL	STATE PROJECT NO.: 63703 DATE: 6/4/2015
CITY/TOWN: HARTFORD	BRIDGE NO.: 03244	SCALE: N.T.S.	CME ASSOCIATES, INC. 32 Crabtree Lane, Woodstock, CT 06281 338 East River Drive, East Hartford, CT 06108 50 Elm Street, Southbridge, MA 01550 888-291-3227 www.cmeengineering.com	DETERIORATION	SHEET NO.: 1 OF 1

Appendix F: ConnDOT Inspection and Maintenance Reports

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

# STRUCTURE NO. 02555

al Strate

INTERSTATE-91 over SERVICE ROAD HARTFORD

# Routine Inspection

on 6/11/2014

Inspected by Team 2 for Area 6

TEAM:	Forwarded to TE3	Armin Kamali	Date	6/11/2014
<u>TE3:</u>	Reviewed by TE3	Armin Kamali	Date	7/9/2014
	BMM Require	ed	No	
	Town Bridge		No	
	Rating <= 5 (	Items 58,59,60 or 62)	No	
	Rating Chang	ge 2 or More Values	No	
	Forwarded to Supervisor Leo Cain Jr.		Date	7/14/2014
Forwarded to "To Be Copied Drawer" Date				
Date BRI-19 Entered 7/9/14				
SUPERVISOR: Reviewed by Supervisor Les Cain Jr. Date 7/16/14				
Scanned By: Date Scanned Date Scanned Date Scanned				
NBI: No				

**NHS: Yes** 

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

Inspection Report Transmittal Form Form BRI-27, Rev. 8/09

State of Connecticut Department of Transportation Bureau of Engineering and Construction

Structure No.	02555	Town	HARTFORD
Inspection Date	6/11/2014	Inspectors	TEAM 2

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### Loose Forms (not bound in report)

Number of Sheets Enclosed

Maintenance Memo			
Flagging Memos			0
PONTIS Element Data Col	llection Form		1
Plan Sheets	Already on File		0

#### **Bound Report Pages**

Title Cover Sheet			1	
Table of Contents				
Executive Summar	у	(	)	
Field Notes		(	)	
Calculations:	Load Rating Evaluation	(	)	
	Quantities & Cost Estimate	(	)	
Photo Sheets			3	
Photo Images		1	1	

#### Forms

BRI-18 Bridge Inspection Report Form BRI-19 Highway Bridge Inventory Form

5
2

Comments:

**1 ATTACHED SHEET** 

<b>BED ELAG</b>		
90) Inspection Date Inspection Team 91) Frequency Class: 90) Inspection Date Inspection Team 91) Frequency Class: 1000 Deck Survey Access Flagman 1000 Deck Survey	AGE AND SERVICE       AGE AND SERVICE         May       106) Year Reconstructed         May       B) Under       1 HIGHWAY         May       B) Under       1 HIGHWAY         May       B) Under       1 HIGHWAY         May       D)       Dimer       1 HIGHWA	
STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION BRIDGE SAFETY & EVALUATION STRUCTURE EVALUATION SHEET 1 OF 2 FORM BRI-19 REV 10/00 SHEET OF	77) Year Built       1964         77) Year Built       1964         70       1         70       1         70       1         70       1         70       1         70       1         70       1         70       27) Year Built         70       10         70       28) Number of Lanes:         70       29) Average Daily Traffic         70       30) Year of ADT         71       19) Bypass, Detour Leng         73) Year of ADT       19         74) Length of Max Span         75) Curb or Sidewalk Widh         70       20         71       20         73) Structure Length         73) Structure Length         74) Left       00ft         7       20         7       20         7       20         8       50         8       50         7       50 <td> </td>	 
5 8 7 8 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	IDENTIFICATION     Town Code     370       rstate High     D) Route Number 00091     370       rstate High     D) Route Number 00091     370       SERVICE ROAD     D) Route Number 00091     370       NITERSTATE-91     D) Route Number 00091     370       Inine     D) Route Number 00091     370       Inine     D) Route Rumber 00091     370       Inine     D) Route Rumber 00091     370       Inine     B) Percent Responsibi     380       Inin     36.00 sec     200       Inin     38.00 sec     200       Inin     38.00 sec     200       Inin     B) Percent Responsibi     380       Inin     B) Design Type     19       Invol Applicable     Not Applicable     0       Invol Applicable     Not Applicable     10	N Not Applicable
Bridge Number     02555       nspected By:     0.26.60       Sufficiency Rating     26.60       Previous Inspection Date     6/19/2       SS&E Received     Data E       Sopies Made     Data E	Sridge Name Fown Name HARTFORD (Twentory Route: A) Record Type B) Signing Prefix (C) Level of Service B) Signing Prefix (C) Level of Service B) Signing Prefix (C) Level of Service C) Level of Service (C) Lattude (C) Border Bridge (C) Border Town Name (C) Border Type, Main: A) Material (C) Number of Spans, Main Unit (E) Number of Mearing Surface (C) Deck Structure Type (C) Deck Structure Type (C) Deck Structure Type (C) Deck Structure Type (C) Number of Wearing Surface (C) Type	<ul> <li>B) Type of Deck Protection</li> </ul>

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

### **Connecticut Department of Transportation**

#### **Bridge Inspection Report BRI-18**

#### Bridge #: 02555

#### Inspection Date: 6/11/2014

Inspection Type:	Routine	Previous Inspection Date:	6/19/2014	Snooper Required:	No
Inspection Performed By:	Team 2	Feature Carried:	INTERSTATE-91	Snooper Used:	No
Town:	HARTFORD	Feature Intersected:	SERVICE ROAD	Year Built:	1964
Location:	0.1 MI N. WETHERSFIELD TL	Main Design:	Culvert (includes frame culverts)	Year Rebuilt:	-
Main Material:	Concrete				

<b>\</b>	10	Ite
- <b>V</b>	13	IL3
-		

Visit Date:	Temp:	Start Time:	End Time:	Inspector:	Task:
6/11/2014	70	9:30:00 AM	10:30:00 AM	J. Brndiar	Inspector
				J. Jones	Lead Inspector

Inspectors:

DECK:	-		Overall Rating: P
	Rating		
OVERLAY:	8	New overlay (2inches) was just installed a few weeks holes over the winter.	ago due to large pot
DECK-STR. CONDITION:	N	-	
CURBS:	Ν	-	
MEDIAN:	Ν	-	
SIDEWALKS:	N	-	
PARAPET:	N	-	
RAILING:	N	-	
PAINT:	N	-	
FENCE:	N	-	
DRAINS:	N	-	
LIGHTING STANDARD:	Ν	-	
UTILITIES TYPE/SIZE:	Ν	-	
CONSTR JOINTS:	Ν	-	
EXPANSION JOINTS:	Ν	-	

![](_page_46_Picture_11.jpeg)

DIGTOTORIA -	Structure No. 0.	2333 Inspection Date: 0/11/2014
59. SUPERSTRUCTUR	E: [	Overall N Rating:
60. SUBSTRUCTURE:	- Rating	Overall Rating: N
31. CHANNEL & CHANNEL PROTECTION:	-	Overall Rating:
62. CULVERTS & RETAINING WALL:	- Rating	Overall Rating:
BARREL:	N	-
CONCRETE:	6	Walls: Joint #1, section #2, south wall has a spall with exposed rusted rebar, 5.5ft. long x 6" wide with adjacent 3ft. x 6 inch hollow area. Numerous popouts and potential shallow popouts / spalls from shallow rebar mainly in section #7, southwall, totaling approximately 30 sq. ft. +/
		Laminar rust on with minor section loss on exposed steel. Joint spall 15" x 8" by 3" deep at joint #8 northwall. Roof
		Laminar rust on with minor section loss on exposed steel. Joint spall 15" x 8" by 3" deep at joint #8 northwall. Roof Scrapes and has random misalignment of roof joints at most units, ranging from 0" to 3-3/8", worse condition is joint #1. Very or little change since 1996. Deck Chairs bleeding through.
		Laminar rust on with minor section loss on exposed steel. Joint spall 15" x 8" by 3" deep at joint #8 northwall. Roof Scrapes and has random misalignment of roof joints at most units, ranging from 0" to 3-3/8", worse condition is joint #1. Very or little change since 1996. Deck Chairs bleeding through. Areas of honeycombing and map cracking.
STEEL:	N	Laminar rust on with minor section loss on exposed steel. Joint spall 15" x 8" by 3" deep at joint #8 northwall. Roof Scrapes and has random misalignment of roof joints at most units, ranging from 0" to 3-3/8", worse condition is joint #1. Very or little change since 1996. Deck Chairs bleeding through. Areas of honeycombing and map cracking.
STEEL: TIMBER:	N	Laminar rust on with minor section loss on exposed steel. Joint spall 15" x 8" by 3" deep at joint #8 northwall. Roof Scrapes and has random misalignment of roof joints at most units, ranging from 0" to 3-3/8", worse condition is joint #1. Very or little change since 1996. Deck Chairs bleeding through. Areas of honeycombing and map cracking.

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

	7	East headwall shows areas of light to medium scale with a shallow exposed rebar at north end.
CUTOFF WALL:	Ν	-
DEBRIS:	7	Heavy vegetation overgrowth at east and west elevations.
RETAINING WALL STEM:	7	Vertical hairline cracks and potential spalls.
FOOTING:	Ν	-

65. APPROACH CONDITION	-		Overall Rating: 6
	Rating		
APPROACH SLAB:	Ν	-	
<b>RELIEF JOINTS:</b>	Ν	-	
APPROACH GUIDE RAIL:	7	Metal beam rail on weak posts, minor scrapes. Dented rail at northeast trailing end.	
APPROACH PAVEMENT:	8	New overlay.	
APPROACH EMBANKMENT:	8	Heavy vegetation growth.	

#### TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURES

Rating

BRIDGE RAILINGS:	Last Inspection: N Current: -	-
TRANSITIONS:	Last Inspection: N Current: -	-
APPROACH GUARDRAILS:	Last Inspection: N Current: -	-
APPR. GUARDRAIL ENDS:	Last Inspection: N Current: -	-

66. LOAD POSTING

- Posted

	Loading -	
SINGLE UNIT (TONS):	Last Inspection: - Current: -	
SEMI TRAILER (TONS):	Last Inspection: - Current: -	-
4 AXLE (TONS):	Last Inspection: - Current: -	-
3S2 (TONS):	Last Inspection: - Current: -	-
ADVANCE WARNING (Y/N):	Ν	-
LEGIBILITY:	N	-
VISIBILITY/LOCATION:	Ν	

#### 67.

MISCELLANEOUS

Rating

MIN. VERT. UNDERCLEARANCE:	Last Inspection: 12' 4'' Current: -'-'' [2'4'	Joint #10, with misalignment is 12'-04". This is now the minimum.
POSTED CLR. UNDER BRIDGE:	Last Inspection: 12' 1" <b>12' 1<sup>#</sup></b> Current:"	At Bridge. Posting sign located at northeast is leaning into the vegetation growth.
POSTED CLR. ON BRIDGE:	Last Inspection: -' -" Current: -' -"	-
ADVANCED WARNING (YES/NO):	No	-
SPEED LIMIT (IF ANY):	Last Inspection: - Current: -	-
CHARACTER OF TRAFIC:		-

ADDITIONAL NOTES: ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: This bridge was done in conjunction with Br # 02164.

## Page <del>5 of 5-</del> 7/15-

Date: 6 / 11 / 14 Inspectors' Signatures: 1) ans Date: 06/11/2014 NICET CET 08/134 END 2-2017 2) Date: -3) Date: ----/----/-----4) Date: --P.E. Signature: P.E. #: Date: -Date:07 ,14 Reviewed by: conndot

5/15

PREPARED	PREPARED BY S. JARONLEY K	State of Connecticut Department of Transportation Bureau of Engineering & Highway Operations DES-003 REV 1-93	ORGANIZATION UNIT NO.	WORK ORDER NO.
CHECKED	CHECKED BY	(302-06-0225) COMPUTATION SHEET		SHEET NO.
SUBJECT:				91
BR + 2555	$\frac{2-91-C_{2}}{-C_{2}}$	ARK DIKE SERVICE.	ROAD HARTFO	RD
1 1				
		VERTURI CIMPAN	111	
		M. 7. 5.		
		L she she	bal X III	
	12 07		12-08	
	1 22.00			
		Joint # 11	-	
		13-01 //	4 11-	
	14 FA	JOINT, #10	2-04	
	12 000 1	12/10/1	A destant	
				2 
		14		
	12-01"		12	
			1 <u>3-02</u>	
	<del>\$}</del>	JOINE		
		13-7		
		WEST ELEVED	A CONTRACT	
2 0	AL REVISION E	1 62010 No Revisions		
30 702	IN NOWE	CHANGES		
14 12	TEV A	Section No Changes		
NO. DA	TE DESCRIPTION	tibl daile gatestation		
R	EVISIONS	THE WEIGHT		
X	1 1 1 1 1	The second		

- ---

#### Your Agency Name

#### Your Office Name Your Department Name

#### Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

Bridge Key: 02555	Agency ID:	02555	S	R: 73.2 SD/F	FO: NA
IDENTIFICATION           State 1:         09 Connecticut         Struc Num 8:         02555           Facility Carried 7:         INTERSTATE-91         Location 9:         .04 MI N O           WETHERS         Rte.(On/Under) 5A:         Route On Structure         Rte. Signing Prefix 5B:         1           Level of Service 5C:         1 Mainline         Route Number 5D:         00           Directional Suffix 5E:         0 N/A (NBI)         % Responsibility:         0.0	9F SFIELD Interstate Hwy 0091 .00	Frequency 91: 24 FC Frequency 92A: UW Frequency 92B: SI Frequency 92C: Element Frequency: 24	INSP months Inspection Date 90: NA FC Inspection Date NA UW Inspection Date NA SI Date 93C: months Element Insp. Date	PECTION 6/11/2014 Next 93A: NA Next 93B: NA Next NA Next : 6/19/2012 Next	nspection: 6/11/2016 =C Inspection: NA JW Inspection NA 51: NA Elem. Insp.: 6/11/2016
SHD District 2:       01       County Code 3:       H         Place Code 4:       HARTFORD       Mile Post 11:       3:         Feature Intersected 6:       CLARK DIKE SERVICE ROAD       3:         Latitude 16:       41° 43' 48"       Longitude 17       0'         Border Bridge Code 98       Unknown (P)       Border Bridge Number 99       NA         STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIALS	lartford 5.403 mi 72* 39' 36"	Defense Highway 100: Direction of Traffic 102: Highway System 104: Toll Facility 20: Defense Hwy 110: Owner 22:	CLASS 1 STRAHNET hwy 2 2-way traffic 1 On the NHS 3 On free road 1 STRAHNET hwy 01 State Highway Agency	STECATION Parailel Structure 101: Temporary Structure 103: NBIS Length 112: Functional Class 26: Historical Significance 37	No    bridge exists Unknown (NBI) Too Short 11 Urban Interstate 5 Not eligible for NRHP
Number of Approach Spans 46 0 Number of Spans Main Unit 45: 1 Concrete 19 Culvert Deck Type 107: N N/A (NBI) Wearing Surface 108A: N N/A (no deck (NBI))	1	Custodian 21: Deck 58: N N/A (NBI) Culvert 62: 6 Deterioration	01 State Highway Agency CON Super 59: N N/A ( on Channel/Chu	r NDITION (NBI) Sub 60: annel Protection 61: N N	N N/A (NBI) (A (NBI)
Membrane 1088: N NA (no deck (NBI)) Deck protection 108C N N/A (no deck (NBI)) AGE AND SERVICE Year Built 27: 1964 Year Reconstructed 106: Type of Service on 42A 1 Highway Type of Service under 42B 1 Highway	-1	Inventory Rating Method 65: Inventory Rating 66: Design Load 31: Posting Status 41:	LOAD RATIN 5 No rating HS19.8 Unknown (NBI) A Open, no restriction	G AND POSTING Operating Rating Method 63: Operating Rating 64: Posting 70:	5 No rating HS54.5 5 At/Above Legal Loads
Lanes on 28A:         7         Lanes under 28B:         0         Detour Length 11           ADT 29:         112,400         Truck ADT 109:         9%         Year of ADT 30:           GEOMETRIC DATA           Length Max Span 48:         14.11 ft         Structure Length 49:           Curb/Sdwlk Width L 50A         0.00 ft         Curb/Sidewalk Width R 50B           Width Curb to Curb 51:         0.00 ft         Width Out to Out 52:	9: 1.9 mi 1999 14.11 ft 0.00 ft 0.00 ft	Bridge Rail 36A: N N Transition 36B: N N Str Evaluation 67: 7 Al Underclearance, Vertical and H Waterway Adequacy 71: Scour Critical 113:	APF /A or not required /A or not required bove Min Criteria dorizontal 69: N Not applicable N Not over Waterway	PRAISAL Approach Rail 36C: Approach Rail Ends 36D: Deck Geometry 68: 3 Intolerable - Correct Approach Alignment 72:	N N/A or not required N N/A or not required N Not applicable (NBI) 8 Equal Desirable Crit
Approach Roadway width     145.01 ft     Median 33: 32: (w/ shoulders)       Deck Area:     0.00 sq. ft       Skew 34:     0.00°     Structure Flared 35       Vertical Clearance 10     328.05 ft     Horizontal Clearance 47:       Minimum Vertical Clearance Reference 54A     H Huw hansath	0 No median 0 No flare 49.87 ft	Bridge Cost 94: Roadway Cost 95: Total Cost 96: Year of Cost Estimate 97	PROPOSED \$1,000 \$1,000 \$2,000 2000	IMPROVEMENTS Type of Work 75: Length of Improvement 76 Future ADT 114: Year of Future ADT 115:	38 Other Structural 0.3 ft 56,200 2019
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 54B:     12.66 ft       Minimum Lateral Underclearance Reference R 55A:     H Hwy beneath       Minimum Lateral Underclearance R 55:     0.98 ft       Minimum Lateral Underclearance L 56:     0.00 ft	struct	Navigation Control 38 Vertical Clearance 39 Pier Protection 111:	NA-no waterway 0.0 ft Unknown (NBI)	ATION DATA Horizontal Clearance 40: Lift Bridge Vertical Clearance 116	0.0 ft
ELEMENT CONDITION STATE DATA	tal Oty % in 1	Dty St 1 % in 2 Oty St	2 % in 3 Oty St	3 % in 4 Oty St 4	% in 5 Oty St 5

			()								- /*	Wed	06/11/20	14 11.5
UNITO	241/3	Concrete Culvert	(LF)	217	86%	186	14%	30	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
UNITO	212/3	Reinforced Conc wing	(LF)	56	100%	56	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Str Unit	Elm/Env	Description	Units	Total Qty	% in 1	Qty. St. 1	% in 2	Qty. St. 2	% in 3	Qty. St. 3	% in 4	Qty. St. 4	% in 5	Qty. St. 5

INSP007\_Inspection\_SIA\_English

#### Agency ID: 02555

Page 1 of 1

9/15

Inspected by: JOHN G. BRI	Inspected by: JAMES JONI	Date Inspected: JUNE 11, 201	Project No.:	IG I-91 SOUTH Photo # 2 NEW WEARING SURFACE, I- SOUTHBOUND LANES OVER THE STRUC
	ORD	RSTATE 91	<b>RVICE ROAD</b>	H VIEW LOOKIN LE.
02555	HARTF	INTE	SE	CTUR

Page 1

10/15

tridge No.	02555 HARTFORD	Inspected by: Inspected by:	JOHN G. BRNDIAR JAMES JONES
wn: ature Carried:	INTERSTATE 91	Date Inspected:	JUNE 11, 2014
ature Crossed:	SERVICE ROAD	Project No.:	
oto # 3 APPRC VER THE STRUC	ACH VIEW LOOKING I-91 NORTH CTURE.	Photo # 4 NEW WEAKING S NORTHBOUND LANES OVEI	URFACE, 1-91 R THE STRUCTURE.

Page 2

11/12

JOHN G. BRNDIAR	JAMES JONES	JUNE 11, 2014		EW THRU THE STRUCTURE.	
Inspected by:	Inspected by:	Date Inspected:	Project No.:	Photo#6 AVI	
02555	HARTFORD	INTERSTATE 91	SERVICE ROAD	ERLY ELEVATION.	
Bridge No.	Town:	Feature Carried:	Feature Crossed:	Photo # 5 WESTI	

,

Printed on June 11, 2014 Document1

Page 3

12/12

Inspected by: JOHN G. BRNDIAR	Inspected by: JAMES JONES	Date Inspected: JUNE 11, 2014	Project No.:	Photo # 8 TYPICAL SOFFIT CONDITIONS.	
02555	HARTFORD	INTERSTATE 91	SERVICE ROAD	RLY ELEVATION.	
Bridge No.	Town:	Feature Carried:	Feature Crossed:	Photo # 7 EASTEF	

Page 4

13/15

		White is a second here	IOHN G BRNDIAR
Bridge No.	02555	Inspected by:	
Town:	HARTFORD	Inspected by:	JAMES JONES
Feature Carried:	INTERSTATE 91	Date Inspected:	JUNE 11, 2014
Feature Crossed:	SERVICE ROAD	Project No.:	
	-		
	-		
	4		
		and the second s	
		A REAL PROPERTY OF	
Photo # 9 SOUT	HERLY STEM.	Photo # 10 SHALLOW KE SOUTHERLY STEM.	-BAKS OF THE

Page 5

iy/n

		Contraction in the second	IOHN G BRNDIAR
Bridge No.	CCC70	IIIspecteu by:	
Town:	HARTFORD	Inspected by:	JAMES JONES
Feature Carried:	INTERSTATE 91	Date Inspected:	JUNE 11, 2014
Feature Crossed:	SERVICE ROAD	Project No.:	
		and the second	
		a an in	
I			
		and the second se	
Photo # 11 .IOIN	T SPALL OF THE NORTHE	RLY	
STEM.			

Page 6

151

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

www.cmeengineering.com

⊠ Original □ Copy □ Record

CME Project No. 63-703

Commitment, Meaning, & Excellence. In All We Do.