REHABILITATION STUDY REPORT

State Project No. 063-703 Bridge No. 03614 in Hartford, CT I-91 TR 828, 15-161 over Drainage

Prepared For:

State of Connecticut Department of Transportation Newington, Connecticut

Submitted: February, 2016





www.cmeengineering.com

333 East River Drive, Suite 400, East Hartford, CT 06108



Table of Contents

Executive	Summary	1
Location M	lap	2
Introductio	n	3
Descriptior	۱	3
Field Obse	rvations	4
Load Ratin	ıg	5
Seismic Co	onsiderations	5
Recommer	ndation for Rehabilitation	5
Utility Impa	acts	6
Appendice	s	7
	Appendix A: Photographs Appendix B: Cost Estimate Appendix C: Existing Bridge Plans Appendix D: Proposed Bridge Plans Appendix E: ConnDOT Inspection and Maintenance Reports	



Approved Repair Code

Recommended Primary Repair Code

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Scope of Rehabilitation Work

Based upon the inspection and evaluation of Bridge No. 03614, the scope of work at this site is limited to the installation of protective fence at the inlet and outlet.

Maintenance and Protection of Traffic

Maintenance and protection of traffic on I-91 is not required for the recommended work at this site.

Notable Facts

Estimated Construction Cost:	\$27,000
ROW Involvement:	None anticipated
Utilities Impacted:	None
Permits Required:	None
Potential Design Exceptions:	No
Sufficiency Rating:	39.10 (Per 2015 CTDOT Inspection Report)
Load Rating after Repairs:	HS-20 (min)
Estimated 2009 ADT:	6600



LOCATION MAP





INTRODUCTION

CME Associates, Inc. has been retained by the Connecticut Department of Transportation (ConnDOT) to perform the rehabilitation evaluation for this bridge as part of State Project No. 63-703. Field inspections were conducted during May 2015 and a video inspection of the culvert was conducted during December 2015.

This report describes the findings of the comprehensive evaluation of this bridge and presents our recommendation.

DESCRIPTION

General

The Interstate 91/84 Interchange and Charter Oak Bridge Project includes widening I-91 Northbound. Bridge No. 03614 is a single-cell box culvert structure which carries one lane of I-91 Northbound Exit 28 off-ramp to Route 5/15 Southbound (TR-828) and one lane of Route 5/15 Southbound off-ramp to Brainard Road (TR 15-161) over drainage in the City of Hartford. The bridge was originally constructed in 1963 and consists of a single barrel, reinforced concrete box culvert, with an opening of 6 feet wide by 4 feet high, and length of 194 feet.

The I-91 Northbound off-ramp will be raised and shifted to the north as part of the widening project. No adjustment to alignment or profile is planned for the Route 5/15 Southbound off-ramp to Brainard Road.

Highway Geometrics

I-91 Northbound Off-Ramp (TR 828)

The designed roadway across Bridge No. 03614, is the I-91 Northbound Exit 28 off-ramp to Route 5/15 Southbound (TR-828). Exit 28 has a design speed of 25MPH though not all criteria can achieve this value due to the proximity of the two roadways this ramp connects. In order to provide comfortable transitions throughout the ramp along the substandard 135' radius, the superelevation needed to be lower than what is required. These deficiencies are being addressed as design exceptions.

I-91 TR 828 is classified as Urban Principal Arterial-Interstate according to the functional classification maps. It is on the Nation Highway System (NHS) and is part of the Strategic Highway Network (STRAHNET).

The existing curb to curb roadway width of I-91 TR 828 above the structure is 26 feet which includes 1-4' left shoulder, 1-12' off-ramp lane and 1-10' right shoulder. Current ConnDOT Ramp design criteria specify a minimum paved width of 24' which consists of 1-12' lanes, a 4' left shoulder, and a 10' right shoulder. Therefore, overall curb-to-curb width of I-91 TR828 meets current ConnDOT Ramp design standards.

Route 5/15 Southbound Off-Ramp (TR 15-161)

Route 5/15 TR 15-161 is also classified as Urban Principal Arterial-Interstate according to the functional classification maps. It is on the Nation Highway System (NHS) and is part of the Strategic Highway Network (STRAHNET). The existing curb to curb roadway width above the structure is approximately 33 feet. Current ConnDOT Ramp design criteria specify a minimum paved width of 26' which consists of 1-12' lanes, a 4' left shoulder, and a 10' right shoulder. Therefore, overall curb-to-curb width of Route 5/15 TR 15-161 meets current ConnDOT Ramp design standards.



The Route 5/15 TR 15-161 is not changed in the final design or through any of the stages for this project. The existing pavement, roadside safety, and slopes will remain untouched.

Traffic

According to the most recent inspection report, dated January 16, 2015, the estimated 2009 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) on the bridge is approximately 6,600 vehicles per day with 9% truck traffic.

FIELD OBSERVATIONS

The NBIS condition rating for Item 61, Channel and Channel Protection was found to be in fair condition (Rating = 5), and Item 62, Culverts & Retaining Wall, was found to be in satisfactory condition (Rating=6); therefore the bridge <u>is not</u> considered structurally deficient. Note that the condition ratings are from the latest inspection report, dated January 16, 2015.

Results of the video inspection performed in December 2015 on behalf of CME were consistent with CTDOT's underwater inspection performed during January 2015. No additional deficiencies noted that require repair.

Culvert

The culvert is a 6' x 4' reinforced concrete box culvert below approximately 6' and 20' of fill below I-91 TR828 and Route 5/15 TR15-161 respectively. The culvert consists of a 1' high sub headwall, up to 10' long wingwalls, and a 3' high cutoff wall. Due to the limited clearance within the structure, a video inspection was completed.

The concrete is in good condition (Rating =7) which exhibits the following:

- Up to 1/8" deep scale from the mudline to 4" above the water line.
- Intermittent honeycombing up to ½" deep.

The barrel is in satisfactory condition (Rating = 6) and exhibits the following:

- Joint filler material missing within structure.
- Construction joint misaligned up 2.5" and open up to 1.5" wide.
- Erosion at northerly embankments at wingwalls.

The headwalls, cutoff walls, and wingwalls are in good condition (Rating=7). The headwall and wingwalls have scale up to 1/8" deep. The southwest wingwall has damage from backhoe teeth up to $\frac{1}{2}$ " deep.

Debris is in fair condition (Rating = 5). There is up to 1.5' of silt covering the culver floor.

Approaches

Approach pavement in satisfactory condition (Rating=6) and exhibits minor transverse cracking up to $\frac{1}{2}$ " width at I-91 TR 828. No notable deterioration at Route 5/15 TR 15-161.

The approach guide rail is in satisfactory condition (Rating = 5) and the I-91 TR 828 metal beam rail has collision damage with minor scrapes and a few bent posts.

Channel

Water flows through the culvert from north to south.



Bridge No. 03614 Location: Hartford February 5, 2016

The channel is in fair condition (Rating=5) and has heavy vegetation growth which is restricting flow.

Erosion is in good condition (Rating = 7) and exhibits erosion at both northerly wingwalls; approximately 1.5' long x 1.5' wide x 0.8' behind the Northwest Wingwall, 2.5' long x 4' wide x 1.8' deep behind the Northeast Wingwall.

The inlet and outlet to the culvert have silt and debris up to 1.5' deep covering the culvert floor.

Utilities

The existing 12" CMP which outlets at the Southwest Wingwall is severely deteriorated with up to 50% section loss.

Property

Bridge 03614 is surrounded entirely by state property.

Cultural Resources

Developed commercial areas are present all around the bridge. Hartford Brainard Airport is located approximately 0.8 miles east of the bridge, Hartford Hospital is located approximately 1.5 miles north of the bridge, and Bulkeley High School is located approximately 1.2 miles north of the bridge.

Environmental Resources

The Connecticut River is located approximately 1.0 miles to the east with access at Charter Oak Landing in Hartford, approximately 1.2 miles to the northeast.

LOAD RATING

The existing bridge is not posted for live load restriction. No independent load rating analyses were performed. ConnDOT's latest inspection report dated January 16, 2015 indicates that a rating analysis is not necessary due to the depth of fill over the structure; Item 64 coded as "99.0".

SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS

According to AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications in Section 3.10 Earthquake Effects, seismic effects for buried structures need not be considered, except where they cross active faults. Connecticut does not cross any active fault lines; however, AASHTO states that potential for soil liquidation and slope movements shall be considered for these structures.

RECOMMENDATION FOR REHABILITATION

Based on field inspections, engineering analysis, and a review of ConnDOT's Bridge Inspection Reports, Bridge No. 03614 was <u>not</u> found to be functionally obsolete or structurally deficient. No rehabilitation is recommended for this structure.

We recommend that Protective Fence be installed at both the Headwall and Endwall as fall protection.



Cost Estimate

Appendix B contains an itemized cost estimate for the protective fence. The table below provides a summary of the total costs.

Rehabilitation Measures	St	ructure Items	Additional Costs		Rounded Total Costs	
1 – Install Protective Fence	\$	11,200	\$	15,189	\$	27,000
Additional Costs – Breakdown	n Alternate 1				_	
Roadway Items	\$	0			-	
Clearing & Grubbing	\$	420				
Maintenance and Protection of Traffic	\$	490				
Mobilization	\$	1,050				
Construction Staking	\$	140				
Minor Items (25% of Structure Items)	\$	2,800				
Incidentals and Contingencies	\$	8,050				
Escalation to Midpoint Construction Year	\$	2,239				
Total:	\$	15,189			-	

Recommended Repairs

Based on work performed to date, the observations in the field, we recommend that Protective Fence be installed at both the Headwall and Endwall.

UTILITY IMPACTS

Existing CMP that outlets at the Southwest Wingwall will be replaced as part of the drainage revisions for the project.



APPENDICES

Appendix A	 Photographs
Appendix B	 Cost Estimate
Appendix C	 Existing Bridge Plans
Appendix D	 Proposed Bridge Plans
Appendix E	- Inspection and Maintenance Reports



Appendix A: Photographs





1: South Endwall, Outlet. Note CMP outlet at SW Wingwall



2: North Headwall, Inlet Note erosion at both wingwalls





3: TR 15-191, Looking northerly up off-ramp



4: TR-828, Looking westerly towards I-91





5: TR-828, Transverse cracks in bituminous



6: TR-828, Damaged MBR at right EOR





7: Inside culvert Note sediment and debris



8: CMP at Southwest Wingwall Note section loss of pipe





9-: Outlet looking downstream Note vegetation blocking channel



10: Headwall looking upstream Note vegetation blocking channel



Appendix B: Cost Estimate



	COMPUTATION B	PGG	DATE	5 16	SHEET C	DF 1
LOCHNER	CHECKED BY	000	DATE	-5-10	HWL PROJECT NO.	1
¥	CLIENT				103 CLIENT PROJECT NO.	326
ITEM					63-7	703
Bridge No. 3613 Estimate for Alternate 1						
Alternate 1: Increase Headwall & wingwall h	eights (1.5:1 slo	pe)				
STRUCTURE ITEMS						
ITEM NO. ITEM DESCRIPTION	^ U)		<u>UNIT</u>	QUANTITY	\$ 200.00	TOTAL
0913952 PROTECTIVE FENCE (5 Hit	JN)		LF	50 S	TRUCTURE TOTAL	\$11,200.00
BOADWAY ITEMS						
All roadway items included in roadway estimate			STRUCT	URE + ROADV	VAY = SUBTOTAL 1	\$11,200.00
MINOR ITEMS			<u>Unit</u> LS	QUANTITY	<u>UNIT PRICE</u> \$2 800 00	<u>TOTAL</u> \$2 800 00
				·	SUBTOTAL 2	\$2,800.00
			LINIT	QUANTITY		τοται
Clearing & Grubbing (3%)			LS	1	\$420.00	\$420.00
Maintenance and Protection of Traffic (3.5%)			LS	1	\$490.00	\$490.00
Mobilization (7.5% of Subtotal 1 and 2)			LS	1	\$1,050.00	\$1,050.00
Construction Staking (1%)			LS	1	\$140.00 SUBTOTAL 3	\$140.00 \$2,100.00
						, ,
ENGINEERING PERCENTAGES					30% INCIDENTALS	TOTAL
Contingency (10% of Subtotal 1, 2, and 3)				1	0% CONTINGENCY	\$1,610.00
					SUBTOTAL 4	\$8,050.00
	TRUCTION					тота
Say 3% Per Year to 2018	n = 3 i = 3	years %			SUBTOTAL 5	\$2,239.36
						TOTAL
Say 5% of Total					SUBTOTAL 6	
					TOTAL	\$26,389.36
				ſ	GRAND TOTAL	\$27 000 00
				L		÷=:,000.00

	COMPUTATION BY	DATE	SHEET	OF
LOCHNER	DGG	1-20-16	1	1
	CHECKED BY	DATE	HWL PROJECT NO.	
				10326
	CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT NO.	
				63-703
ITEM				

Bridge No.	3614	Estimate
------------	------	----------

ITEM DESCRIPT	ION			UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL		
0913952 P	ROTECTIVE FEI	NCE (5' HIGH)		LF	56.0	\$200.00 \$	11,200.00		
					Г	SAY \$	12,000.00		
Headwall (north)	Length	8.3 FT	scaled from pdf)						
NW WW	Length	10.3 FT							
NE WW	Length	7.1 FT							
Endwall (south)	Length	6.4 FT							
SW WW	Length	10.2 FT, avg							
SE WW	Length	10.4 FT							
	Subtotal	52.7							
Add 5% CO	NTINGENCY	55.3			`				
	Say	56.0 LF							
Unit Price Calc									
Ref: CTDOT weig	Ref: CTDOT weighted Unit price, July 2015								
0913952 Protectiv	ve fence (5' HIGH	l) \$106.20/LF, for sma	Il quantity & difficult access	s; say \$200.00					

Appendix C: Existing Bridge Plans







				THE INFORMATION, INCLUDING ESTIMATED QUANTITIES OF WORK, SHOWN ON THESE SHEETS IS BASED ON LIMITED INVESTIGATIONS BY THE STATE AND IS IN NO WAY WARRANTED TO INDICATE THE CONDITIONS OF ACTUAL QUANTITIES OF WORK WHICH WILL BE REQUIRED.	DESIGNER/DRAFTER: H. MARTINDALE CHECKED BY: D. GEISSERT SCALE AS NOTED	DEP
REV.	DATE	REVISION DESCRIPTION	SHEET NO.	Plotted Date: 2/9/2016		Filename:

STATE OF CONNECTICUT PARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

SIGNATURE/ BLOCK:

LOCHNER, INC 55 Hartland Street East Hartford, CT 06108

ROJECT **RELOCATION OF I-91 NB INTERCHANGE 29 AND WIDENING** OF I-91 NB AND ROUTE 15 NB **TO I-84 EB**

...\FA_CGR_CPS_10326_BST_3614_001.dgn

CITY OF HARTFORD

PROJECT NO. 63-703 drawing no.
BST-001 SHEET NO.

DRAWING -BRIDGE NO. 03614 **EXISTING PLAN & ELEVATION** Appendix D: Proposed Bridge Plans





TO I-84

F I-91 NB	TOWN: CITY OF HARTFORD	PROJECT NO. 63-703 DRAWING NO.
ND WIDENING ROUTE 15 NB EB	DRAWING TITLE: BRIDGE NO. 03614 PROPOSED PLAN & ELEVATION	SHEET NO.

Appendix E: ConnDOT Inspection and Maintenance Reports



CCTV Inspections Charter Oak Bridge—CT DOT 63-703 Hartford, CT December 2015

FOR:

CME 333 East River Drive, Suite 400 East Hartford, CT 06108

Sewer System Maintenance Rehabilitation Programs C.C.T.V. Inspection Flow Reduction Programs System Surveys Hydraulic Flow Studies Trenchless Rehabilitation

> Phone (860) 274-5469 Fax (860) 945-3219

PRD North Division HEITKAMP, Inc.

99 CALLENDER ROAD P.O. BOX 730 WATERTOWN CT 06795-0730



PRD North Division Heitkamp, Inc. 99 Callender Road Watertown, CT 06795 Phone: (860) 274.5469 Fax: (860) 945.3219



Project Summary

CME HARTFORD-15-77-0049

	Main ID	Date	Address	Start MH	Finish MH	Pipe	Asset length Surveyed	Length
CME-1		12/2/2015	AIRPORT ROAD	INLET 1-106 X 68	CHAMBER 1	RCP	277.0	277.0
CME-2	:	12/2/2015	AIRPORT ROAD	INLET 2-106 X 68	CHAMBER 1	RCP	276.0	276.0
CME-3		12/2/2015	UNDER 91	OUTLET 1	INLET 1	RCP	307.0	307.0
CME-4	:	12/2/2015	AIRPORT ROAD	84" OUTLET 1	CHAMBER 1	RCP	246.0	246.0
CME-5		12/2/2015	AIRPORT ROAD	84" OUTLET 2	CHAMBER 1	RCP	246.0	246.0
CME-6	:	12/2/2015	AIRPORT ROAD	84" OUTLET 3	CHAMBER 1	RCP	246.0	246.0
CME-7	:	12/2/2015	REGIONAL MARKET SOUTH	INLET 1 NORTH-48"-43-45	OUTLET 1 NORTH-43-45	RCP	149.0	149.0
CME-8	:	12/2/2015	REGIONAL MARKET SOUTH	INLET 1 SOUTH-48"-43-45	OUTLET 1 SOUTH-48"-43-45	RCP	149.0	149.0
CME-9	:	12/3/2015	RTE. 15-91	15 SOUTHBOUND	91 SOUTHBOUND	RCP	697.0	697.0

Project Summary

Tuesday, January 05, 2016 9:04 AM

Page 1 of 2

PRD North Division Heitkamp, Inc. 99 Callender Road Watertown, CT 06795 Phone: (860) 274.5469 Fax: (860) 945.3219



Ма	in ID	Date	Address	Start MH	Finish MH	Pipe	Asset length Su	urveyed Length
CME-10		12/3/2015	RTE 15	T-IN AT 84" PIPE	REGIONAL MARKET NORTH	RCP	198.0	198.0
CME-11		12/3/2015	STRUCTURE 36-14	INLET	OUTLET	RCP	199.0	199.0
CME-12		12/3/2015	STRUCTURE 36-13	INLET	OUTLET	RCP	343.0	343.0
Number of	inspections:	12			Subto	otal	3,333.0 ft	3,333.0 ft
					Total		3,333.0 ft	3,333.0 ft

Project Summary

Tuesday, January 05, 2016 9:04 AM

Page 2 of 2

PRD North Division Heitkamp, Inc. 99 Callender Road Watertown, CT 06795 Phone: (860) 274.5469 Fax: (860) 945.3219





PRD North	Division	Heitkamp.	Inc.
1 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1		i i o i ci co i i i p /	

99 Callender Road

Watertown, CT 06795

Phone: (860) 274.5469

Fax: (860) 945.3219

					PAC	P Se	wer F	Repo	rt					
Surveyed by: RICK SMITH	eyed by: Certificate No: Owner: K SMITH U-906-2854		er:		Surv CM	ey Custome E	r:		Drainage area:		Sheet number:			
Work order:	Pipeline segm	nent ref:	Sta 20	art date/time: 15/12/03	11:11	Street: STRU	Street: STRUCTURE 36-14					_{City:} HARTFORD, CT.		
Location details:						Upstrea	am manhole N T	0:			Rim to inve	ert:	Grade to invert:	Rim to grade:
Downstream man	hole No:			Rim to in	nvert:	Grade t	to invert:	Rim to	grade:	S	ewer use:	Direction: D	Flow contro	ol: Height: 48
Width: 5	Shape: R	Material: RCP	Ln. method:	Pipe join	t length:	Total 199	length: .0	Leng 199	th surveye 9.0	d:	Year laid:	Year	renewed:	Media label: D
Purpose: Sewe	er category:	Pre-cleaning N	Date cleaned: 2015/12/03	Weather: 6	Location c D	ode: A	dditional info:							
Starting acc	cess point:	Easting:		Northing	:		Elevatior	:			Coordinate system:		GPS accuracy:	
Distance (Feet) (Meters)	Video Re	ef. Group/ Descripto	Modifier/ Contin or Severity	uous Defect S/!	M/L Inche 1st	Value es (mm) 2nd	%	Joint	Circum Loc At/From	nferential ation to	Image Ref.	Rema	rks	
0.0	25	AEP										IN	LET	
134.3	378	ТВІ			24	2			3					21
134.3	416	ТВ			18				9					
199.0	522	AEP										0	ΙΤΕΔΙΙ	

HEITK/

AN

STRUCTURE NO. 03614

I-91 TR 828,15-161 over DRAINAGE HARTFORD

Routine & Underwater Inspection on

1/16/2015

Inspected by Collins - 52 for Area 6

TEAM:	Forwarded to TE3 Emmanuel Akosa	Date	2/19/2015
<u>TE3:</u>	Reviewed by TE3 Emmanuel Akosa	Date	2/26/2015
2	BMM Required	No	
	Town Bridge	No	
×	Rating <= 5 (Items 58,59,60 or 62)	No	
	Rating Change 2 or More Values	No	
F	Forwarded to Supervisor	Date	
F	Forwarded to "To Be Copied Drawer"	Date	2/26/2015
	Date BRI-19 Entered	2/26/2015	
SUPERVIS	OR: Reviewed by Supervisor		Date
SUPPORT	Date Copies Made BMM	N	
	Scanned By: Date Scanned		PDF Box No
2000 - Contra Co	NRI: No		

NHS: Yes



Bridge Number 03614 S		90) Inspection Date Inspection Team 91) Frequency Class:
DEPAR	TMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	Indepth Insp Deck Survey Access Flagman
Inspected By: J. Karalekas & J. Figueroa BRID	GE SAFETY & EVALUATION	
Sufficiency Rating 39.10 STRUC	TURE EVALUATION	
Previous Inspection Date 1/24/2013 SHEET 1 C	OF 2 FORM BRI-19 REV 10/00	Type Frequency Team Date
		Fracture:
BS&E Received Data Entry By:		Uwater: 2424 19 5 2 1/24/2013 1/16/2015
Copies Made Data Entry Date: 2-26-15		Special:
IDENTIFICATION		AGE AND SERVICE
Bridge Name	27) Year Built 1963	106) Year Reconstructed
Town Name HARTFORD Town Code 37070	42) Type of Service:	
5) Inventory Route:	A) On 1 High	way B) Under 5 WATERWAY
A) Record Type 1 D) Route Number 00091	28) Number of Lanes:	
B) Signing Pretix 1 Interstate High E) Directional Suffix 0 NA	A) On 2	
6) Feature Intersected DBAINAGE	109) Percent Truck	
	30) Year of ADT	2009
7) Eacility Carried: I-91 TB 828 15-161	19) Bypass, Detour Leng	th 2miles
9) Location 91 N EXIT 28/15 S EXIT 87		
	48) Length of Max Span	6ft
11) Milepoint 0.35 Miles	50) Curb or Sidewalk Wid	ths:
16) Latitude 41deg 44 min 9.58 sec deg min	· sec A) Left 0.0ft	B) Right 0.0ft
17) Longitude 72deg 39 min 50.67 sec deg min	sec 51) Brg Rdwy width,curb-o	curb 0.0ft
98) Border Bridge:	52) Deck Width, Out-Out	0.0ft . ft
A) State Code B) Percent Responsibility	% 32) Approach Roadway W	Vidth 26ft ft
C) Border Town Name	33) Bridge Median	0 No Median
		Deck Area 1164 sqft
99) Border Bridge Structure No	34) Skew Angle	Odeg
	35) Structure Flared	0
42) Structure Type Mole	10)Inv. Rte. Min. Vert Cle	earance 99ft 99inftin
43) Structure Type, Main: A) Material 1 Concrete B) Design Type 19 Culvert (includes	47) Log Inv. Rte. Total Ho	oriz Clr.: 26.0ft
	47) RLog Inv. Rte. Total F	Horiz. Clr.: ft
44) Structure Type, Approach:	53) Min Vert Clearance O	
(5) Number of Spans Main Unit 1	55) Min Lat Linder Cleara	nce on Bight N Bef 99 9ft Bef
46) Number of Approach Spans 0	56) Min Lat Under Cleara	nce on Left 0.0ft
107) Deck Structure Type N Not Applicable		
108) Wearing Surface/Protective System:		
A) Type of Wearing Surface N Not Applicable		
B) Type of Membrane N Not Applicable		
C) Type of Deck Protection N Not Applicable		

	CLASSIFICATION		STRUCTURE EVALUATION	Bridge Number 02614 NBIS Longth
112) NBIS Bridge Length	No		SHEET 2 OF 2 FORM BRI-19 REV 10/00	
104) Highway System	1 On System			Town Name HARTFORD No 6
26) Functional Class	11 Urban Principal Arterial - Interstate		SHEET OF OF	Facility Carried I-91 TR 828,15-161
100) Defense Highway	1 Route is on a Interstate STRAHNET Route			Feature Crossed DRAINAGE
101) Parallel Structure	N No parallel structure exists		11/2 11/2	
102) Direction of Traffic	1 1-way traffic		Inspected By: J. Karalekas	& J. Figueroa
103) Temporary Structure				
110) Designated National Network	1 On national network		31) Design Load 0	Evaluation Code
20) Toll	3 On Free Road		63) Operating Bating Type 0	Year of Evaluation 1992
21) Maintain	1 State Highway Agency		64) Operating Bating 990	70) Bridge Posting 5
22) Owner	1 State Highway Agency		65) Inventory Bating Type 0	41) Structure Status
Report Class	S STATE		66) Inventory Bating 99.0	
37) Historical Significance	5 Bridge is not eligible for National Register			
International Action of the Ac	WATERWAY		Bating E	APPRAISALS
DrainageBasinCode	4099		58) Deck N	67) Structure Evaluation
38) Navigation Control	0 No navigation control on waterway		59) Superstructure N	68) Deck Geometry N
39) Navigation Vert Clr. 0	40) Navigation Horiz Clr. 0		60) Substructure N	69) Under Clear Vert & Horiz N
116) Vert-Lift Brg Nav Min			61) Channel & Chan, Protection 5	71) Waterway Adequacy 5
111) Pier Abutment Protection			62) Culverts 6	72) Approach Bdwy Alignment 6
	PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS			113) Scour Critical 8
75A) Type of Work Proposed				Ma a Gillia D
75B) Work Done By			Items 58 Thru 72 Checked By:	nepla. Hulles
76) Length of Struct. Improvement	ft	ft	36) Traffic Safety Features:	the
94) Bridge Improvement Cost	\$		A) Bridge Railings N	
95) Roadway Improvement Cost	\$		B) Transitions N	
96) Total Project Cost	\$		C) Approach Guardrail N	
97) Year of Improvement Cost Est.			D) Approach Guardrail End N	
114) Future ADT	115) Year Future ADT		OTHE	
List No. Project No.	Advertised	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	POSTED SIGNS & UTILITIES		Fence Required No	Barrel Ladder No N
Other Posted Signs 1			Fence Present No blank	N Stand Pipes No D
Other Posted Signs 2			Fence Height ft	ft Cat Walks No
Actual P.L. Single Unit Truck	tons Actual P.L. 4Axle Truck	ons	Fence Type	Movable Inspection System No
Rec. P.L. Single Unit Truck	tons Rec. P.L. 4Axle Truck to	ons	Fence Material	Loose Concrete Checked? Yes
Actual P.L. Semi-TrailerTruck	tons Actual P.L. 3S2 Truck	ons	Fence Top Type	
Rec. P.L. Semi-TrailerTruck	tons Bec. P.L. 3S2 Truck to	ons	INSPECT	TION COMMENTS
Bec. P.L. All Vehicles	tons Actual P.L. All Vehicles to	ons	Proposed Next Indepth Insp Year 999	
Posted Vert Clearance On Bridge	ft in		Senior Emmanuel Akos	Sa La
Posted Vert UnderClearance	ft in		Supervisor Steve Keed	dv.
Posted Speed Limit	mph	moh	Huana	
Utility			REVIEWED BY: /hpl grade	Date 02/13/2015
toninononin ∉			#23005 1	0
			Kongolija	2-26-15
			1 - CONSER	

ConnDOT

Collins Engineers, Inc.

Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

Bridge Key: 03614	Agency ID:	03614		SR:	96.0	SD/FO:	
IDENTIFICATION State 1: 09 Connectout Stuc Num 8. 03814 Facility Carried 7. I-91 TR 628,15-161 Location 9. I-91 N E EXT 87 Rte (On-Under) 5A: Route On Structure Rte. Signing Prefix 58. Level of Service SC: 7 Ramp Route Number 5D. Directional Suffix 5E: 0 NA (NBi) % Responsibility.	X 28,15 S 1 Interstate Hay 00091 0 00	Frequency 91: FC Frequency 92A: UW Frequency 92B: SI Frequency 92C: Element Frequency:	IN 24 months Inspection Dat NA FC Inspection 24 months UWInspection NA SI Date 93C: 24 months Element Insp.	ISPECTIO e 90 Date 93A Date 93B Date	N 1/16/2015 NA 1/16/2015 NA 1/16/2015	Next Inspection. Next FC Inspection Next UW Inspection Next St Next Elem Insp :	1/16/2017 NA 1/16/2017 NA 1/16/2017
SHD District 2 01 County Code 3. Place Code 4. HARTFORD Mile Post 11: Feature Intersected 6: DRAINAGE Lettude 16. 41° 44° 10° Longitude 17 Border Bridge Code 58 NotApplicable (P) Border Bridge Number 99 NA STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIALS	Hatford 0 359 mi 072° 33' 51*	Defense Highway 100. Direction of Traffic 102. Highway System 104: Toll Facility 20. Defense Hwy 110. Owner 22. Custodian 21:	CLA 1 STRAHNET hay 1 1-way taffic 1 On the NHS 3 On free road 1 STRAHNET hay 01 State Highway Ag 01 State Highway Ag	SSIFICAT Parallel S Temporal NBIS Ler Function Historical ency ency	ION Structure 101: ny Structure 10 ngth 112 al Class 26: I Significance 3	No bridge ex 3 Not Applicable Too Short 11 Urban Infer 17 5 Not eligible l	ists (P) state or NRHP
Number of Approach Spans 46 0 Number of Spans Main Unit 45 1 Concrete 19 Cufvert Deck Type 107: N IVA (NBI) Wearing Surface 108A: N IVA (no deck (NBI)) Membrane 108B N IVA (no deck (NBI))	5 1	Deck 55: N WA (P Culvert 62 6 Detect	C NBI) Super 59. N I Oration Channe	ONDITION NA (NBI) VChannel Protec	N :507 61:	Sub 60: N N/A (NB 5 Bank Prot Eroded)
Deck protection 106C N NVA (no deck (NBi)) AGE AND SERVICE Year Built 27: 1963 Year Reconstructed 106 Type of Service on 42A 1 Highway Type of Service under 42B 5 Waterway		Inventory Rating Method 6 Inventory Rating 66 Design Load 31: Posting Status 41:	LOAD RAT 5: 0 Field eval and doos HS55 0 0 Other or Unknown A Open, no restriction	TING AND Operating Operating Posting 7	POSTING g Rating Metho g Rating 64: '0.	G 1d63: 0 Field eva HSS5.0 5 Al/Above	l and docs Legal Loads
Lanes on 28A: 2 Lanes under 28B: 0 Detour Length ADT 29: 6,600 TruckADT 109: 9% Year of ADT 30 GEOMETRIC DATA Length Max Span 48: 6 00 % Structure Length 49. Curt/Side/xkWdth L 50A 0.00 % Curt/Side/xak Wdth R 508 Wdth Outh D 00 % 900 % Wdth Outh 20 45 22	19. 20 mi 2009 6 CO ft 0 CO ft 0 CO ft	Bridge Rail 36A: Transition 36B. Str Evaluation 67: Underclearance, Vertical a Waterway Adequery 71: Scour Ortical 113.	A N N/A or not required N N/A or not required 6 Equal Min Criteria nd Horizontal 69. 5 Above Tolerable 8 Stable Above Footing	PPRAISAI Approach Approach Deck Geo N Not applica Approach	L n Rail 36C: n Rail Ends 360 orrethy 68 able (NBI) n Alignment 72	N IVA or nv D: N IVA or nv N Not eppl 6 Equal Ma	nt required ht required cable (NBI) n Criteria
Approach Rosdway width 26 00 ft Median 33. 32 (of shouldens) Deck Area: 1,164 00 sq ft Skew 34: 0.00° Structure Flared 35 Vertical Clearance 10 328 05 ft Horizontal Clearance 47: Minimum Vertical Clearance Over Bridge 53. 328 05 ft Minimum Vertical Underclearance Reference 54A N Feature not h	0 No median 0 No fare 28 60 R	Bridge Cost 94: Roadway Cost 95: Total Cost 96: Year of Cost Estimate 97	PROPOSE \$1,000 \$1,000 \$2,000 2000	D IMPRO Type of V Length of Future AD Year of Fi	VEMENT Nork 75: FImprovement DT 114. Uture ADT 115	S 38 Other S 76 0.3 R 250 2019	ructural
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 548. 0.00 ft Minimum Lateral Underclearance Reference R 55A: N Feature not h Minimum Lateral Underclearance R 55: 327.76 ft Minimum Lateral Underclearance L 56. 0.00 ft	nwy or RR	Navigation Control 33 Vertical Clearance 39 Pier Protection 111:	NAVI Permit Not Required 009 Not Applicable (P)	GATION D Horizontal Ck Lift Bridge Ve	DATA earance 40. ertical Clearanc	00 ft e 116	

ConnDOT

Structure Inventory and Appraisal Sheet (English Units)

ELEMENT	CONDITION STATE	DATA

Str Unit	Elm/Env	Description	Units	Total Qty	% in 1	Qty. St. 1	% in 2	Qty. St. 2	% in 3	Qty. St. 3	% in 4	Qty. St. 4
UNITO	241/3	Re Conc Culvert	ft	194	100%	194	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
UNITO	510/3	Wearing Surfaces	sq ft	372	95%	355	0%	0	5%	17	0%	0
UNITO	330/3	Metal Bridge Railing	ft	24	83%	20	0%	0	17%	4	0%	0
UNITO	515/3	Steel Protective Coating	sq.ft	48	100%	48	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
UNITO	1,020/3	Connection	ft	4	0%	0	0%	0	100%	4	0%	0
UNITO	3,220/3	Crack (Wearing Surface)	sq ft	17	0%	0	0%	0	100%	17	0%	0

STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION BUREAU OF HIGHWAYS

INSPECTION REPORT TRANSMITTAL FORM FORM BRI-27, REV. 9/97 SHEET 2 OF 26

	Structure No.	03614	Town	Hartford	
Inspectors J. Karalekas, J. Figueroa (C	ollins), C. Sorer	nsen (Garg)		Date	1/16/2015
LOOSE FORMS (not bound in report)	<u>TABLE O</u>	F CONTEN	<u>TS</u>		No. of Sheets <u>Enclosed</u>
Maintenance Memo					
Flagging Memos					
BRI-11, Seismic Screening Data Sheet.					
BRI-12, Fracture Critical Inspection Da	ta Sheet				
BRI-19, HWY Bridge SI&A Form					2
BRI-25, Under Entry SI&A Form					
BRI-39, RR Bridge SI&A Form					
BRI-49, Sign Structure SI&A Form					
National Bridge Element Data Collection	n Form				2
Plan Sheets: Proj. No. 159-92, Date: 19	61 .	Ch	eck here if	already on file:	X
Bound Report Pages					Sheet Numbers
Title Cover Sheet					1
Table of Contents					2
Location Map					3
Executive Summary					
BRI-59, Underwater Inspection Form					4
BRI-18, Bridge Inspection Form					5-11
Field Notes (Include Forms BRI-10 , BR BRI-16, BRI-17, BRI-29, BRI-3	II-13, BRI-14, B 30)	RI-15 ,			12-17
Calculations: Load Rating Evaluation		2 1			
Quantities & Cost Estimate					······
Photo Sheets (Thumbnails Included)					
Back-up Material					11 sheets
3/26 TOLLAND HARTFORD LITCHFIELD WINDHAM Rockville 0 Litchfield 🌘 Hartford Willimantic Norwich Middletown MIDDLESEX **NEW HAVEN NEW LONDON** New Haven FAIRFIELD Bridgeport BRIDGE # 03614 HARTFORD Vicinity Map BRIDGE # 03614 and a state of the RECOINGST DAAINARD RD. FRESTON ST SOD WELL ST UNDBERGH DR ant WAYLAND ST BROWN ST 130 LEDYARD ST STANDIST ST HURFHY RD SOUTH ST ODSH MC KINLEY ST MCLEAN ST PENNICK ST PLINOUTH ST HANNER ST LEDYARD ST. GOODRICHST FATON ST CHESTER ST CRONNELL ST EOLTON ST **Location Map**

Connecticut Department of Transportation UNDERWATER INSPECTION

BRI-59 Form

	Bridge No:	03614		Date lı	nspected:	1/16/:	2015
Job Number:	170-1940					Client:	Connecticut D.O.T.
Route:	91 TR 828	Mile point:	0.35			City:	Hartford
FeatureCrossed:	Drainage					State:	СТ
Inspector:	J. Karalekas, P.I	Ξ.			Ass	istants:	J. Figueroa, C. Sorensen
Time Arrived:	10:30 AM				Time De	eparted:	12:30 PM
Time In Water:	10:45 AM				Time Out of	f Water:	12:15 PM
Type of Inspection:	Routine						
Year built:	1963	Total Length:	6.0'		No.	Spans:	1
Bridge Type:	Reinforced Cond	rete Culvert					
Total Number of Piers:	0				Piers in the	Water:	N/A
Type of Piers:	N/A						
Abutments:	N/A				2		
Bottom Composition:	Silt and sand wit	h up to 6.0' pe	netratio	on into	the streamb	ed.	
Previous U/W Insp:	1/24/2013						
Marine Growth:	None						
Max. Water Depth:	2.4'						
Max. Depth at Pier:	2.4'						
Current Strength:	None						
U/W Visibility:	<1.0'						
Type of Water:	Fresh						
Access to Bridge:	Shore						
Remarks:							
Inspection Equipmen	<u>t</u>						
Number of Boats:	0	RR P	rotecti	on: N	0		
Boat Size:	0	Equipment Co	ommer	nts:			
Dive Station:	No						
Inspected by:	LK	ll		Date:	2/1	2/20	15
Inspected by:	lemetten Jim			Date:	2/1	2/201	5
D.O.T. reviewed by:	monwel	k		Date:	21-2	6-15	

22223

Connecticut Department of Transportation

Bridge Inspection Report BRI-18

Bridge #: 03614			Inspectio	n Date: 01/16/	2015
Inspection Type:	Routine	Previous Inspection Date:	1/24/2013	Snooper Required:	No
Inspection Performed By:	Collins Engineers	Feature Carried:	I-91 TR 828,15-161	Snooper Used:	No
Town:	HARTFORD	Feature Intersected:	DRAINAGE	Year Built:	1963
Location:	91 N EXIT 28/15 S EXIT 87	Main Design:	Culvert (includes frame culverts)	Year Rebuilt:	-
Main Material:	Concrete				

Visits			Inspectors:		
Visit Date:	Temp:	Start Time:	End Time:	Inspector:	Task:
1/16/2015	30	10:30:00 AM	12:30:00 PM	J. Karalekas	Lead Inspector
				J. Figueroa	Inspector
				C. Sorensen	Inspector

DECK:	Bituminous concrete pavement over $\pm 6'$ of fill (I-91, Ramp 828) and over $\pm 20'$ of fill (Route 15, Ramp 161) over concrete box culvert.	Overall Rating:	Ρ
	Per ConnDOT BIM Section 10.1, for structures where the approach pavement is carried across the structure on fill material, the overall deck rating shall be rated an "N".	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	

Rating

OVERLAY:	6	I-91 Ramp 828 has full width transverse cracking up to 1" wide and two longitudinal cracks up to 1/4" wide.
		Route 15 Ramp 161 has no notable deficiencies.
		See Sheet 12 and Photo 10.
DECK-STR. CONDITION:	N	-
CURBS:	6	Bituminous concrete curbs have isolated vertical cracks up to 1" wide and scrape marks.
MEDIAN:	N	There is a grass swale between ramps.
SIDEWALKS:	N	-
PARAPET:	N	-
RAILING:	5	Metal beam rail is continuous across culvert.

04400048 100

** BRI18 FORM ** - Structure No: 03614 Inspection Date: 01/16/2015

		See 'Approach Guide Rail' below.
PAINT:	N	-
FENCE:	N	-
DRAINS:	N	-
LIGHTING STANDARD:	N	-
UTILITIES TYPE/SIZE:	7	There is a 36" diameter outfall in the west wall near Sta 0+60 and a 15" diameter outfall in the east wall near Sta 0+90. The 15" diameter outfall has minor chamfer spalls around the outlet.
CONSTR JOINTS:	N	-
EXPANSION JOINTS:	N	-

6/26

7/26

59. SUPERSTRUCTUR	E:	Overall N Rating:
60. SUBSTRUCTURE:	- Rating	Overall Rating: N
61. CHANNEL &	The drainage cha	nnel is a freshwater body that flows from north to
PROTECTION:	Pating	
	Rating	
CHANNEL SCOUR:	7	The channel bottom consists of silt and sand with up to 6' of penetration into the stream bed outside of the culvert. The upstream channel has areas of degradation up to 2.2'. The downstream channel has an isolated area of 2.5' aggradation along the southwest wingwall. Otherwise, the downstream channel bottom is relatively unchanged since the 2013 Inspection. The toe of the cutoff wall is exposed up to 0.5' high at the inlet.
EMBANKMENT	7	There is 1.5' long x 1.5' wide x 0.8' deep erosion behind the end of the
EROSION:		northwest wingwall and 2.5' long x 4' wide x 1.8' deep erosion behind the northeast wingwall. The northeast embankment has erosion up to 1' deep.
		See Sheet 13.
DEBRIS:	5	There is sediment buildup within the entire structure up to 1.5' high (38% of culvert height). Infilling is relatively unchanged since the 2013 Inspection. Minor timber debris is overhanging the southwest wingwall and north headwall and wingwalls.
		See Sheets 13 - 15 and Photos 6 & 7.
VEGETATION:	6	Upstream and downstream embankments exhibit moderate to heavy vegetation growth that is overhanging the channel, southwest wingwall, and north headwall and wingwalls.
CHANNEL CHANGE	5	The upstream channel has areas of degradation up to 2.2'. The downstream
GHANNEL GHANGE.	0	channel has an isolated area of 2.5' aggradation along the southwest wingwall. Otherwise, the downstream channel bottom is relatively unchanged since the 2013 Inspection. Long term aggradation of the channel bottom has infilled the culvert up to 1.5' high.

FENDER SYSTEM:	N	-	1
SPUR, DIKES &	N		
JETTIES:			
RIP RAP:	N	-	
62. CULVERTS &	Reinforced concre	ete box culvert 6' wide x 4' high.	6 Overall Rating
WALL:			overan rading.
on united all found and	Rating		
BARREL:	6	The construction joints have up to 70% missing packin penetration at chamfer sections. The remaining joint m and ineffective. The construction joints are open up to joint 7 at Sta 1+86 is vertically misaligned 2.5" (no cha Inspection) and is open up to 1.5" wide. Active water a not observed. There were no sink holes or evidence of pipe.	ng with up to 1.5' naterial is typically loose 1.5" wide. Construction nge since the 2001 and or soil infiltration was f soil infiltration into the
		See Sheet 15 and Photos 11 & 12.	
CONCRETE:	7	The barrel has up to 1/8" deep scale from the mudline waterline. The barrel has intermittent honeycombing u	to 4" above the p to 1/2" deep.
		See Sheet 15 and Photos 11 & 13.	
STEEL:	N	-	
TIMBER:	N	-	
HEADWALL:	7	Concrete headwalls have scale up to 1/8" deep from the	ne mudline to 4" above
	7	the waterline.	the inlet
GUIUFF WALL.	ŕ I		
DEBDIO		See Sheet 14.	up to 1 51 bigh (2001) of
DEBRIS:	5	There is sediment build-up within the entire structure u culvert height). Minor timber debris is overhanging the north headwall and wingwalls.	southwest wingwall and
		See Sheets 13 - 15 and Photos 6 & 7.	
RETAINING WALL STEM:	7	Concrete wingwalls have scale up to $1/8"$ deep from the the waterline. There is missing joint material from the r wingwalls with up to 0.9' penetration. The southeast w damage from backhoe teeth consisting of scrapes and long x 0.5' high x up to $1/2"$ deep.	ne mudline to 4" above nudline to the top of the ingwall has minor I two mechanical spalls 1'
FOOTING:	N	-	

65. APPROACH CONDITION	Bituminous concrete pavement.	Overall Rating: ⁶
	Rating	
APPROACH SLAB:	N -	

9/06

RELIEF JOINTS:	Ν	-
APPROACH GUIDE RAIL:	5	There are metal beam rails at both sides of both ramps. I-91 Ramp 828 metal beam rails have scrapes, dents and areas of minor impact damage throughout. South rail has three consecutive disconnected posts. The rail is unsupported for ±45' and is loose. North rail has two disconnected posts. The rail is not loose. Route 15 Ramp 161 metal beam rails have random minor scrapes. See Sheet 12 and Photo 14.
APPROACH PAVEMENT:	6	I-91 Ramp 828 approach pavement has random transverse and longitudinal cracks up to full roadway width x up to 1/2" wide. Route 15 Ramp 161 approach pavement has no notable deficiencies. See Sheet 12.
APPROACH EMBANKMENT:	7	The embankments have minor erosion due to run-off.

TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURES

Rating

BRIDGE RAILINGS:	Last Inspection: N Current: N	-
TRANSITIONS:	Last Inspection: N Current: N	-
APPROACH GUARDRAILS:	Last Inspection: N Current: N	-
APPR. GUARDRAIL ENDS:	Last Inspection: N Current: N	-

66. LOAD POSTING



** BRI18 FORM ** - Structure No: 03614 Inspection Date: 01/16/2015

4 AXLE (TONS):	Last Inspection: - Current: -	-
3S2 (TONS):	Last Inspection: - Current: -	-
ADVANCE WARNING	-	-
(Y/N):		
LEGIBILITY:	-	-
VISIBILITY/LOCATION:	-	-

67. MISCELLANEOUS

Rating

MIN. VERT. UNDERCLEARANCE:	Last Inspection: 0' 0" Current: -' -"	Structure spans a waterway.
POSTED CLR. UNDER BRIDGE:	Last Inspection: -' -" Current: -' -"	-
POSTED CLR. ON BRIDGE:	Last Inspection: -' -" Current: -' -"	2
ADVANCED WARNING (YES/NO):	No	-
SPEED LIMIT (IF ANY):	Last Inspection: - Current: -	
CHARACTER OF TRAFIC:		Moderate to heavy volume, mixed traffic weights.

ADDITIONAL NOTES:	Inventory direction is west to east. The bridge identification number posted at the I-91 Ramp 828 is faded. Above water and underwater inspection performed concurrently.
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	A Priority C & D BMM was issued during the 2013 Inspection with the following deficiencies and repairs: 1) I-91 Ramp 828 metal beam rails have impact damage on both sides with a total of six disconnected posts Straighten posts and attach the metal beam rail to the 6 posts (LS). No repair has been made since the 2013 Inspection. 2) Timber debris and vegetation is overhanging the southwest wingwall and north headwall and wingwalls Remove timber debris and trim back live vegetation from the southwest wingwall and north headwall and wingwalls. (LS). No repair has been made since the 2013 Inspection.
	Repair Recommendations: 1) Seal the culvert joints and wingwall joints (130 LF). 2) Seal the cracks in the I-91 Ramp 828 pavement (150± LF).

Date: 2 12 2015 Inspectors' Signatures: 1) Date: 2/12/2015 2 ---/-----Date: ---3 ./-----Date: -4) 02,13,2015 Date: P.E. Signature: Date: ----/----/-----P.E. #: 23005 Date: 2.126115 Kmmen Reviewed by: conndot









		PHOTO LOG Form BRI-13, Rev. 9/97	16/26
~ 1	Bridge Information	on System	
	Image Inventory	-	
	Bridge No	<u>3614</u> Date <u>1/16/18</u>	
	Town:	Photographer: <u>CS</u>	
	Carried / Crossed	: 1-91 TR 828 & Rte 15 Ramp 161/ Drainage	
91.0	Film Frame #	Image Description	
828	1	BIN	
1	2	Bridge from W opproach	
	3	Wapproach from bridge	
	4	pavement over culvert 16g N	
	5	E approach povement 1kg NE	
	6,7	s mbr 1kg E, disconnected posts	
	8	bridge from E approach	
=	9	Wappipach frombidge	e.
ĺ	10	En n n	
	11	NMbr 1kg W, disconnected posts (posts 183 in picture)	
X	12	powement over culvert the S, transverse erorb	15
	13		
disp	14	N MBR ING E the	
161	15	Wappiooch parentley 1kg SW, typ	
	16	Ridge & last approach from W awroach	
X	17	11 '' W 11 11 E 11	7
	\hat{U}_{μ}		
			1
			1
			1
			1
ſ			1
F			-
1-			_1

(6 () 19 5 **PHOTO LOG** FORM BRI-13, REV. 9/97

.

١

3

Bridge Informati	on System
Image Inventory	
Bridge No. 03	Date $1/16/15$
Town: Harth	Photographer: <u>JK</u> , JF
Carried / Crossed	1: I-9/TR 828 + RT IS Ramp 161 / Damage
Film Frame #	Image Description
	South Elevation TN
2	Channel locking downstream (south)
3	View thin culvert at South End TN
ч(Bridge ID
5	North Elevation 95
G	Channel looking unstream (North)
7	View then intertat worth End 93
૪	11 IL IL South 11 TN
ና	Misaliched joint at North End TE
10	Typ soffit
И	Misaligned joint at North End 95W
19	Jyp culvert wall I SW
13	"I IL II I SE
	7

Garg Consulting Thumbnails

18/26

03614_G_16.JPG



03614_G_14.JPG

03614_G_15.JPG

03614_G_13.JPG



03614_G_17.JPG

Collins Engineers Thumbnails







03614_C_03.JPG



14/26



03614_C_05.JPG





03614_C_07.JPG



03614_C_08.JPG





03614_C_10.JPG



03614_C_11.JPG



03614_C_12.JPG



03614_C_13.JPG

Inspected by: J. Karalekas, P.E.	Inspected by: J. Figueroa, C. Sorensen	Date Inspected: 01/16/14	Project No.: 170-1940		Photo # 2: I-91 TR 828, West Approach Looking East
03614	Hartford	I-91 TR 828 & Rte. 15 Ramp 161	Drainage		lentification Number
Bridge No.	Town:	Feature Carried:	Feature Crossed:		Photo # 1: Bridge Id



•

-				
ed by: J. Karalekas, P.E.	ed by: J. Figueroa, C. Sorensen	spected: 01/16/14	No.: 170-1940	4: Rte. 15 Ramp 161, West Approach Looking
Inspecte	Inspecte	Date Ins	Project	Photo # East
03614	Hartford	I-91 TR 828 & Rte. 15 Ramp 161	Drainage	328, East Approach Looking West
Bridge No.	Town:	Feature Carried:	Feature Crossed:	Photo # 3: 1-91 TR 8



Bridge No.	03614	Inspected by:	J. Karalekas, P.E.
Town:	Hartford	Inspected by:	J. Figueroa, C. Sorensen
Feature Carried:	I-91 TR 828 & Rte. 15 Ramp 161	Date Inspected:	01/16/14
Feature Crossed:	Drainage	Project No.:	170-1940
			Of 15 2016
Photo # 5: Rte. 15 F West	lamp 161, East Approach Looking	Photo # 6: North	(Upstream) Elevation



	1	1	1	1
nspected by: J. Karalekas, P.E.	nspected by: J. Figueroa, C. Sorensen	Jate Inspected: 01/16/14	Project No.: 170-1940	⁵ hoto # 8: Channel Looking Upstream (North)
03614	Hartford	I-91 TR 828 & Rte. 15 Ramp 161	Drainage	ownstream) Elevation
Bridge No.	Town:	Feature Carried:	Feature Crossed:	Photo # 7: South (D



Inspected by: J. Karalekas, P.E.	Inspected by: J. Figueroa, C. Sorensen	Date Inspected: 01/16/14	Project No.: 170-1940	Photo # 10: I-91 TR 828, Bituminous Concrete Pavement Over the Culvert, Looking South Note: The pavement has transverse cracking.	
03614	Hartford	I-91 TR 828 & Rte. 15 Ramp 161	Drainage	Looking Downstream (South)	
Bridge No.	Town:	Feature Carried:	Feature Crossed:	Photo # 9: Channel	



Bridge No.	03614	Inspected by:	J. Karalekas, P.E.
Town:	Hartford	Inspected by:	J. Figueroa, C. Sorensen
Feature Carried:	I-91 TR 828 & Rte. 15 Ramp 161	Date Inspected:	01/16/14
Feature Crossed:	Drainage	Project No.:	170-1940





Inspected by:	J. Karalekas. P.E.
Inspected by:	J. Figueroa, C. Sorensen
1 Date Inspected	d: 01/16/14
Project No.:	170-1940
1 Date Inspected by: Proiect No.:	J. Figueroa, C. So 1: 01/16/14 170-1940





26/26

APPENDIX

BRIDGE NO. 03614 ADDITIONAL FIELD NOTES DATE: 01/16/2015

						4/27
	Conne	cticut Departr	ment of Transp	ortation		<i>,,,,,,,,,,,,,</i>
	U	NDERWATE	R INSPECTIO	N		
		BRI-5	9 Form		2	
	Bridge No:	03614	Date Inspected:	_1/24/2013	1/16/15	
Job Nu	mber: 170-1940			Client: Conne	cticut D.O.T.	
F	Route: 91 TR 828	Mile point: 0.35		City: Hartfor	d	
FeatureCro	ossed: Drainage			State: CT		
Insp	ector: B. Quadrini, P.E.	JK	Ass	istants: H_Elma	akky, A. Przesz	IOW-JF, CS
Time Ar	rived: <u>12:00 PM</u> 103	0	Time De	parted: 4:00 PM	1230	
Time In V	Nater: 1:30 PM \04	5	Time Out of	Water: 3:00 PM	1215	
Type of Inspe	ction: Routine					
Year	built: 1963 - Te	otal Length: 6.0'	No.	Spans: 1		
Bridge	Type: Reinforced Concre	ete Culvert			•	
Total Number of I	Piers: 0		Piers in the	Water: N/A		
Type of I	Piers: N/A					
Abutm	ents: N/A			,		
Bottom Compos	ition: Silt, sand, with up t	o 3.0' penetration i	nto the streambed.			
Previous U/W	Insp:_12/1/2010 ,1/24	/13				
Marine Gro	owth: Minimal					
Max. Water D	epth: 1.6'					
Max. Depth at	Pier: 1.3'					
Current Stren	ngth: None					
U/W Visib	oility: <1.0'					
Type of W	ater: Fresh					
Access to Bri	dge: Shore					
Rema	arks:	1.37				
Inspection Equip	ment					
Number of Bo	pats: 0	RR Protectio	on: No			
Boat S	Size: 0 Equ	lipment Commen	ts:			
Dive Stat	tion: No	•				
		1				
Inspected by:	Brondontud	5	Date: 219	13		
Inspected by:	Haha	D	ate: 219	3		
D.O.T. reviewed by:		D	ate:			
2/12/2013					c	Page 1 of 1

Page 1 of 1

** BRI18 FORM ** - Structure No: 03614 Inspection Date: 01/24/2013

Page-1-of-7

5/27

Connecticut Department of Transportation

Bridge Inspection Report BRI-18

Bridge #: 03614 Inspection Date: 01/24/2013 Routine Previous Snooper 12/1/2010 **Inspection Type:** No **Inspection Date: Required:** Inspection **Collins Engineers** I-91 TR 828,15-161 Snooper Used: No **Feature Carried:** Performed By: Feature HARTFORD DRAINAGE Town: 1963 Year Built: Intersected: 91 N EXIT 28/15 S Culvert (includes frame Location: Main Design: Year Rebuilt: EXIT 87 culverts) Main Material: Concrete

Visits

/isits				Inspectors:	
Visit Date:	Temp:	Start Time:	End Time:	Inspector:	Task:
1/24/2013	10	12:00:00 PM	4:00:00 PM	A. Przeszlowski	Inspector
				B. Quadrini	Lead Inspector
				H. Elmakky	Inspector

DECK:	Bituminous pavement over 6' +/- fill (I-91, Ramp 828) and over 20' +/- fill (Route 15, Ramp 161) over concrete box culvert.				
	Rating	, "w			
OVERLAY:	6	I-91 Ramp 828 has a full width transverse crack up to X" wide and two longitudinal cracks up to 25' long x ¼" wide. Route 15 Ramp 161 has no notable deficiencies. ✓ See Sheet 12 and Photos 2 & 3.			
DECK-STR. CONDITION:	N	-			
CURBS:	6	Bituminous curbs have isolated vertical cracks up to 1" wide and scrape marks.			
MEDIAN:	N	There is a grass swale between ramps.			
SIDEWALKS:	N	-			
PARAPET:	N	-			
RAILING:	N. 5	Metal beam rail is continuous across culvert. See approach guide rail below.			
PAINT:	N	-			
FENCE:	N	-			
DRAINS:	N	-			
LIGHTING STANDARD:	N	-			

Page 2 of 7 6/27

UTILITIES TYPE/SIZE:	7	There is a 36" diameter outfall in the west wall near Sta 0+60 and a 15" diameter outfall in the east wall near Sta 0+90. The 15" diameter outfall has minor chamfer spalls around the outlet.
CONSTR JOINTS:	N	-
EXPANSION JOINTS:	N	ц.

2/12/2013

** BRI18 FORM *	* - Structure No	D: 03614 Inspection Date: 01/24/2013 Page 3	of 7 -7
59. SUPERSTRUCTU	- RE:	Overall N Rating:	
60. SUBSTRUCTURE:	- Rating	Overall Rating: N	
61. CHANNEL & CHANNEL PROTECTION:	The drainage cl to south.	nannel is a freshwater body that flows from north Overall Rating:	Harah
CHANNEL SCOUR:	Rating	The clannel Vellov (on Sife of Sife Sand Gife The channel bottom is relatively unchanged (< = 1' changes) since the previous 12/1/2010 inspection. There are areas of minor erosion located behind the end of the northwest wingwall 1.5' L x 1.5' W x 0.8' D, behind the northeast wingwall up to 2.5' L x 4' W x 1.8' D, and along the northeast embankment with root exposure up to 1'H with 1.3' penetration.	penetration
EMBANKMENT EROSION:	7√	See Sheets 13 - 15. There are areas of minor erosion located behind the end of the northwest wingwall $1.5' L \times 1.5' W \times 0.8' D$, behind the northeast wingwall up to $2.5' L \times 4' W \times 1.8' D$, and along the northeast embankment with root exposure up to 1'H with $1.3'$ penetration. See Sheet 12	
DEBRIS:	5	Long term sediment infilling has partially blocked the upstream and downstream opening up to 1.1 and 1.5'; respectively. There is sediment buildup within the entire structure up to 1.5' H (38% of culvert height): Infilling is relatively unchanged since the previous inspection. Minor timber debris is overhanging the southwest wingwall and north headwall and wingwalls.	
VEGETATION:	5 6	Brush and trees have been cut down from above the culvert to 15' +/- downstream of the culvert and are lying on the ground. The southeast embankment has no vegetation along the bank where brush has been removed. All other areas of the upstream and downstream embankments exhibit moderate to heavy vegetation growth that is overhanging the channel, southwest wingwall, and north headwall and wingwalls.	
CHANNEL CHANGE:	50 K	See Sheet 13 and Photos 6 - 9. The channel remains relatively unchanged since the previous 12/1/2010 inspection. However, long term aggradation of the channel bottom has infilled the culvert up to 1.5' H.	
FENDER SYSTEM:	N	-	

SPUR, DIKES a	& N	<u> </u> -		
RIP RAP	2: N			
62. CULVERTS & RETAINING WALL:	Reinforced cond	crete box culvert 6' W x 4' H.		
	Rating			
BARREL	6	The construction joints exhibit up to 70% missing packing with up to 1.5' penetration at chamfer sections. The remaining joint material is typically loose and ineffective/Jeint packing was previously reported as up to 100% missing with up to 6" penetration. The construction joints are open up to 1.5" W. Construction joint 7 at Sta 1+86 is vertically misaligned 2.5" (no change since the 2001 inspection), and is open up to 1.5" W. Mactive water and or soil infiltration was not observed. There were no sink holes or evidence of soil infiltration into the pipe.		
CONCRETE:	7	See Sheet 14 and Photos 10 & 11.		
`	Solution LTL: // V The large 20 of the barrel upstream and downstream exhibits up to 1/8" L scaling from the mudline to 4" above the waterline. The remaining areas of barrel exhibit light scaling and intermittent honeycombing up to 1/2" deep. See Sheet 14 and Photos 10 & 12.			
STEEL:	N	-		
TIMBER:	N /	-		
HEADWALL:	7	Headwalls have scale up to 1/8" deep from the mudline to 4" above the waterline.		
CUTOFF WALL:	N	-		
DEBRIS:	5√	There is sediment buildup within the entire structure up to 1.5' H (38% of culvert height). Minor timber debris is overhanging the southwest wingwall and north headwall and wingwalls.		
	1	See Sheets 13 - 15 and Photos 6 & 7.		
RETAINING WALL STEM:	7√	Wingwalls have scale up to 1/8" deep from the mudline to 4" above the waterline. There is missing joint material from the mudline to the top of the wingwalls with up to 0.9' penetration. The southeast wingwall has minor damage from backhoe teeth consisting of scrapes and two mechanical spalls 1'L x 0.5' H x up to 1/2" D.		
FOOTING:	N			

65. APPROACH CONDITION	Bituminous pavement.			Overall Rating: 6
	Rating			
APPROACH SLAB:	N	-		
RELIEF JOINTS:	N	-		
APPROACH GUIDE				

Page 5 of 7-9/27

RAIL: 5	Metal beam rails at both sides of both ramps. 1-91 Ramp 828 metal beam rails have minor impact damage on both sides with a total of six disconnected posts. South mir has 3, consecutive disconnected posts. The I at unsupported for ± 45 and is lease. North mir has 2 disconnected p See Sheet 12 and Photos 3, 14 & 15. The rail is not loose, R te IS Re	1 is locts, imp 161
APPROACH 6 I-91 Ford PAVEMENT: 828	Bituminous pavement has random transverse cracks up to full length x 1/4" wide. Rte 15 Lamp 161 bit. Conc. government length sine 1 radius has no no table deficiencies. See Sheet 12 and Photos 2 - 5, & 13.	has random Mimor sampes
APPROACH 7 EMBANKMENT:	Minor erosion of the banks due to run-off.	wide,

TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURES

Rating

BRIDGE RAILINGS:	Last Inspection: N Current: N	-
TRANSITIONS:	Last Inspection: N Current: N	-
APPROACH GUARDRAILS:	Last Inspection: N Current: N	-
APPR. GUARDRAIL ENDS:	Last Inspection: N Current: N	-

66. LOAD POSTING

	- Posted Loading -	
SINGLE UNIT (TONS):	Last Inspection: - Current: -	-
SEMI TRAILER (TONS):	Last Inspection: - Current: -	-
4 AXLE (TONS):	Last Inspection: - Current: -	- ·
200 TONOL	Statistics of the local division of the loca	
352 (TONS):	Last Inspection: - Current: -	7.

(Y/N):		L
LEGIBILITY:	N	-
VISIBILITY/LOCATION:	N	-

67. MISCELLANEOUS

	Rating		
MIN. VERT. UNDERCLEARANCE:	Last Inspection: 0' 0" Current: -' -"	-	
POSTED CLR. UNDER BRIDGE:	Last Inspection: -' -" Current: -' -"	-	
POSTED CLR. ON BRIDGE:	Last Inspection: -' -" Current: -' -"	-	
ADVANCED WARNING (YES/NO):	No	-	
SPEED LIMIT (IF ANY):	Last Inspection: - Current: -	-	
CHARACTER OF TRAFIC:		Moderate to heavy volume, mixed weights.	
ADDITIONAL NOTES: ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:		 Inventory direction is west to east. The bridge identification number posted at the the bridge identification number posted at the Ro condition. Above water and underwater inspection performance Repair Recommendations: Repair the damaged I-91 Ramp 828 Guide Rate Remove timber debris and overhanging veget 	all (LS).
		south headwalls and wingwalls (LS). 3) Seal the culvert joints and wingwall joints (130 4) Seal the cracks in the overlay (150± LF).	LF).
Inspectors' Signature	es: 1) 7	Frander and	Date: 2/19/13
	2)	Hesha	Date: 2/19/13
	3)	1	Date:

2/12/2013

1 1







مریک میں میں میں




www.cmeengineering.com

 \boxtimes Original \square Copy \square Record

CME Project No. 63703CT