

**Interim Technical  
Memorandum #1**

(Existing and Future Deficiencies)

Seymour

Beacon Falls

Naugatuck

Waterbury

prepared for:




prepared by:

*Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.* 

August 2009

# Route 8

## Interchanges 22-30 Deficiencies/Needs Study



# Table of Contents

<b>1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 Study Goals and Objectives .....	1-1
1.2 Study Area .....	1-2
1.3 Study Process .....	1-3
1.4 Public Participation .....	1-3
1.5 Study Team .....	1-4
<b>2. Existing Transportation Conditions .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 Study Area Demographics .....	2-1
2.2 Existing Traffic Demand .....	2-2
2.2.1 Daily Volumes .....	2-2
2.2.2 Peak Hour Volumes .....	2-3
2.2.3 Surface Street Traffic Volumes .....	2-4
2.2.4 Trucks .....	2-5
2.2.5 Mainline Speeds .....	2-6
2.3 Geometrics .....	2-7
2.3.1 Methodology/Review of Geometrics .....	2-7
2.3.2 Main Line Geometry Review .....	2-9
2.3.3 Interchange Spacing .....	2-11
2.4 Structural Conditions Review .....	2-14
2.4.1 Operational Conditions .....	2-14
2.4.2 Physical Conditions .....	2-17
2.5 Existing Traffic Operations .....	2-18
2.5.1 Methodology/Criteria .....	2-19
2.5.2 Mainline Operations .....	2-19
2.5.3 Ramp Operations .....	2-22
2.5.4 Weaves .....	2-25
2.5.5 Intersections .....	2-27
2.6 Safety Analysis .....	2-33
2.6.1 Methodology .....	2-33
2.6.2 Quantitative Data .....	2-33
2.6.3 Review of Route 8 Accident Locations .....	2-36
2.6.4 Local Intersections .....	2-38
2.7 Multi- Modal Transportation Services .....	2-40
2.7.1 Metro North Railroad .....	2-40
2.7.2 Park and Ride Facilities .....	2-41
2.7.3 Transit .....	2-42
2.7.4 Bicycle and Pedestrian Access .....	2-43

**3. Existing Environmental Conditions.....3-1**

- 3.1 Community Resources..... 3-2
  - 3.1.1 Sensitive Noise Receptors..... 3-2
  - 3.1.2 Land Use, Community Planning and Municipal Facilities ..... 3-5
  - 3.1.3 Local, Regional and State Land Use Plans ..... 3-9
- 3.2 Natural Resources ..... 3-13
  - 3.2.1 Surface Water Resources ..... 3-14
  - 3.2.2 Wetlands ..... 3-16
  - 3.2.3 Floodplains and Stream Channel Encroachment Lines ..... 3-18
  - 3.2.4 Groundwater Resources ..... 3-20
  - 3.2.5 Endangered Species..... 3-21
  - 3.2.6 Farmland Soils ..... 3-23
- 3.3 Cultural and Recreational Resources ..... 3-24
  - 3.3.1 Cultural Resources..... 3-24
  - 3.3.2 Section 4 (f) and 6 (f) Lands..... 3-29
- 3.4 Other Environmental Factors ..... 3-31
  - 3.4.1 Hazardous Materials ..... 3-31
  - 3.4.2 Environmental Justice ..... 3-33

**4. Future Transportation Conditions.....4-1**

- 4.1 Forecasting Future Traffic Conditions – 2008 to 2030..... 4-1
  - 4.1.1 Study Area Land Use Update ..... 4-2
- 4.2 Future Traffic Demand – Year 2030 ..... 4-3
  - 4.2.1 2030 Daily Volumes ..... 4-4
  - 4.2.2 2030 Peak Hour Volumes ..... 4-4
- 4.3 Future Traffic Operations – Year 2030 ..... 4-6
  - 4.3.1 Mainline Operations ..... 4-6
  - 4.3.2 Ramp Operations ..... 4-8
  - 4.3.3 Weaves ..... 4-10
  - 4.3.4 Intersections..... 4-11
  - 4.3.5 Comparison of Existing and Future Conditions ..... 4-16

**5. Deficiencies and Needs Summary.....5-1**

- 5.1 Future Conditions – Year 2030 ..... 5-1
  - 5.1.1 Geometric Assessment..... 5-1
  - 5.1.2 Structural Evaluation ..... 5-2
  - 5.1.3 Traffic Operations ..... 5-2
  - 5.1.4 Safety ..... 5-3
- 5.2 Future Conditions – Year 2030 ..... 5-4
  - 5.2.1 Traffic Demand ..... 5-4
  - 5.2.2 Traffic Operations ..... 5-4

**Appendix**

- A-1 Surface Street Intersections
- A-2 Existing and Future Transportation Conditions Graphics
- A-3 Summary of Accident Analysis
  
- B-1 Environmental Constraints Mapping
- B-2 Environmental Conditions - Coordination Letters

# List of Tables

Table No.	Description	Page
2-1	Study Area Demographics/Trip Generation.....	2-1
2-2	Route 8 Average Annual Daily Traffic Volumes (AADT) .....	2-2
2-3	Route 8 Peak Hour Mainline Volumes - 2008 Existing Conditions.....	2-4
2-4	Vehicle Classification Study Results .....	2-5
2-5	Route 8 - Mainline Design Criteria.....	2-8
2-6	Route 8 - Mainline Geometric Compliance - Northbound.....	2-9
2-7	Route 8 - Mainline Geometric Compliance - Southbound .....	2-10
2-8	Route 8 - On-Ramp Locations - Geometric Assessment .....	2-12
2-9	Route 8 - Off-Ramp Locations - Geometric Assessment .....	2-13
2-10	Route 8 - Bridge Structure Summary .....	2-15
2-11	Route 8 - Bridge Operational Conditions Summary .....	2-16
2-12	Route 8 - Bridge Physical Conditions Summary .....	2-17
2-13	Summary of Freeway Segment Analysis - 2008 Existing Conditions.....	2-21
2-14	Ramp Level-of-Service Analysis Summary - 2008 Existing Conditions .....	2-24
2-15	Weaving Sections Level-of-Service Analysis Summary - 2008 Existing Conditions .....	2-27
2-16	Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service Summary - 2008 Existing Conditions .....	2-29
2-17	Unsignalized Intersection Level-of-Service Summary - 2008 Existing Conditions .....	2-30
2-18	Total Study Area Accidents by Year.....	2-34
2-19	Vehicle Classifications for Accidents in Study Area .....	2-34
2-20	Comparison of Accident Road Surface Conditions .....	2-35
2-21	Contributing Factors for Accidents in Study Area.....	2-35
2-22	Accident Summary for Local Intersections (2005-2007) .....	2-39
2-23	Waterbury Branch Parking .....	2-41
2-24	Route 8 Corridor Park and Ride Lots .....	2-42
3-1	Noise Sensitive Receptors within the Route 8 Study Area.....	3-3
3-2	Public Safety Facilities and Community Institutions located within the Study Area.....	3-9
3-3	Surface Water Quality Classifications .....	3-15
3-4	Stream Crossing within the Route 8 Study Area .....	3-16
3-5	Wetlands within the Route 8 Study Area.....	3-18
3-6	CTDEP Groundwater Quality Classifications in Study Area.....	3-21
3-7	Known Historic Resources within the Route 8 Study Area.....	3-25
3-8	Potential Section 4(f) Resources (Non – Historic) within the Route 8 Study Area .....	3-30
3-9	Hazardous Material Sites within the Route 8 Study Area.....	3-33

# List of Tables(Cont'd)

3-10 Demographic Data for the Study Area Compared to State of Conencticut ..... 3-35

3-11 Environmental Justice Data for the Route Study Area –Minority and Below - Poverty Populations..... 3-35

4-1 Route 8 Mainline Average Daily Traffic Volumes (ADT) Comparison 2008 to 2030 ..... 4-4

4-2 Route 8 Mainline Peak Hour Volume Comparison 2008 to 2030 ..... 4-5

4-3 Route 8 Peak Hour Volumes – Mainline 2030 Future Conditions ..... 4-6

4-4 Summary of Freeway Segment Analysis 2030 Future Conditions ..... 4-7

4-5 Ramp Level-of-Service Analysis Summary 2030 Future Conditions..... 4-9

4-6 Weaving Sections Level-of-Service Analysis Summary 2030 Future Conditions..... 4-10

4-7 Signalized Intersection Level-of-Service Summary 2030 Future Conditions..... 4-12

4-8 Unsignalized Intersection Level of-Service Summary 2030 Future Conditions..... 4-13

4-9 Comparison of Existing and Future Study Area Traffic Conditions 2008 to 2030 ..... 4-16

5-1 Comparative Levels of Service for Freeway Segments (Existing vs. 2030 Conditions) ..... 5-5

# List of Figures

## Appendix A-2

Figure No.	Description
1-1	Study Area Map
1-2	Study Process
2-1	Corridor Geometric and Safety Review
2-2	Mainline/Ramp/Weave Capacity Analysis—Existing Conditions AM Peak Hour
2-3	Mainline/Ramp/Weave Capacity Analysis—Existing Conditions PM Peak Hour
2-4	Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis—Existing Conditions AM Peak Hour
2-5	Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis—Existing Conditions PM Peak Hour
4-1	Mainline/Ramp/Weave Capacity Analysis—2030 No-Build AM Peak Hour
4-2	Mainline/Ramp/Weave Capacity Analysis—2030 No-Build PM Peak Hour
4-3	Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis—2030 No-Build AM Peak Hour
4-4	Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis—2030 No-Build PM Peak Hour

## Appendix B-1

Figure No.	Description
3-1	Land Use, Noise Receptors, and Potential Environmental Justice Populations
3-2	Community Facilities
3-3	Wetlands and Water Resources
3-4	Flood Plains, Stream Channel Encroachment Lines, Natural Diversity Database Records
3-5	Hazardous Materials, Historic Resources, & 4(f) Resources