Medication Reconciliation and Polypharmacy Committee
DRAFT Meeting Minutes

Meeting Date	Meeting Time	Location
November 16, 2020	3:30 pm	Virtual only

Committee Members

p	Nitu Kashyap		Lesley Bennett (2038297650)		Guests:
p	Sean Jeffery		Margherita Giuliano		Nardin Farid
	Alejandro Gonzalez-Restrepo		Marie Renauer	p	Naomi Nomizu
	Amy Justice		Mark Silvestri		Shawn Ong
-	Anne VanHaaren	p	MJ McMullen		
p	Diana Mager	p	Nate Rickles	p	Rick Brooks (DCP)
	Ece Tek	p	Patricia Carroll		
p	Elizabeth Taylor		Rachel Petersen		
p	Jason Gott	p	Rod Marriott	p	Tyler Anderson
p	Jennifer Osowiecki		Stacy Ward-Charlerie		
	Jeremy Campbell	p	Dr. Valencia Bagby-Young		
	Kate Sacro				

Supporting Leadership

x – in person; p – via phone

p	Adrian Texidor, OHS	P	Tom Agresta, UConn		Terry Bequette, CedarBridge
	Allan Hackney, OHS	P	Ryan Tran, UConn		Kassi Miller, CedarBridge
p	Rebecca Burke, UConn	p	Rachel Rusnak, UConn		Craig Jones, CedarBridge

Minutes

	Topic	Responsible Party	Time
	Welcome and Roll Call	Nitu Kashyap, Sean Jeffery	3:30 pm
	Review and Approval of October 2020 Minutes	All	3:40 pm
	• Motion to approve the minutes - Diane Mager • Second- Rod Marriott		
	Public Comment	Public	3:41 pm
	No public comments		
	MRPC Status Check In	All	3:42 pm
	• Nitu walked through the overview of 2020 MRPC activities. • She noted progress in the development of BPMH requirements, Cancel RX, and other areas.		
	MRPC Final Report Review	Nitu Kashyap, Sean Jeffery	3:47 pm
	• Nitu walked through the MRPC Report outline. • She discussed how the report is intended to describe the work completed this year and lay the groundwork for future activities. • Nitu described how the tasks called out in the report relate back to the table we have been using to monitor the committee progress. • Tom reviewed the draft graphic that is intended to describe how the MRPC interacts with State partners • This graphic display how the MRPC influences/and is influenced by other state actors, as well as what we can provide in collaborative partnerships to improve medication reconciliation.		

- Tom described the relationships between the agencies/groups listed in the graphic, and their opportunities that each presents for the MRPC.
- Tom noted that this is a draft, and there are likely other agencies/organizations that should be included.
- Nate Rickles asked if there were any surprising ways that the MRPC interacts with other agencies, or if this is typical?
- Tom responded that the influence that the MRPC can have may be novel and not typical in other states.
- Nitu mentioned that the work of the MRPC is not done in isolation, but in collaboration with others. She hopes that comes through in the report as well.
- Diane noted she appreciates the graphic, she wondered if it might make sense to illustrate arrows between the outer hexagons as well? This might represent the circular nature of communication between all the actors.
- Nitu that noted perhaps a "social network diagram" type image could work?
- Pat inquired about the wording in the diagram. Could some additional influence be described that is not illustrated? Ie. MRPC assignments
- Sean noted that the group was identifying lots of ways that the MRPC interacts with others.
- Nitu noted that the idea behind the graphic is to simplify but would also like to make sure this comes through in the report.
- Sean noted that there might be an opportunity to illustrate the outputs in the diagram.
- Nitu recommended rotating the diagram to show that the MRPC reports up to the HITAC.
- Nitu mentioned that we should represent external participation as well (outside of CT) such as the NCPDP.
- Tom noted we also interact with Surescripts, Health Systems, Pharmacy chains, and what those relationships bring to bear – should they be represented via diagram or verbiage in the report?
- Tom described the "Foundational Accomplishments" graphic, which is intended to describe the activities of the MRPC, what has been accomplished to lay the groundwork, and what upcoming tasks we will continue to work on.
- Sean noted that the MRPC accomplished these tasks despite Covid (canceling April Meeting) and storms (cancelling August meeting).
- Diane noted that she appreciated the visualization, it works in either representation shared.
- Nate asked if it might be helpful to show how these activities tie into the goals identified in the report, to connect goals with the tasks.
- Tom noted that as the committee reviews the report it is important for them to provide feedback on where they agree and disagree with progress.
- Sean solicited further input from the committee members.
- Rod noted that he likes the diagram and that it helps to visualize the progress of the committee, he is looking forward to reviewing the report and providing feedback.
- Nitu noted that there is a graph in the report with Superscripts data, and asked MJ to confirm that the most recent data is included in the graph.
- Sean walked the group through the Feedback template.
- He described the collection process and noted that we are requesting feedback by the 30th in order to turn around an updated draft to the committee.

MRPC Next Steps	All		4:23 pm

- Sean walked the group through the next steps which include:
    - Committee Members Review and Submit Comments (11/30)
    - Gain Consensus on the Final Report (December)
    - Submit Report to the HITAC
    - Committee Members Complete the 2021 Meeting Survey (11/30)
    - Establish a 2021 MRPC Meeting Schedule (December)

Meeting Adjournment	All		4:27 pm

- Diana motioned to adjourn
- Nate seconded the motion
- None opposed, none abstained

DRAFT